People's Democratic Republic of Algeria
Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research
Echahid Echeikh Larbi Tebessi University -Tébessa-
Faculty of Letters and Languages
Department of English Language and Literature

Islamophobia in Britain: The Impact of the 7th October 2023 Attacks on Palestinian Refugees

A Dissertation Submitted to the Department of Letters and English Language in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in Literature and civilization

Candidates:

AZIZI Hana

DJAOUED Hicham

Supervisor:

Dr. BOUAZIZ Amina

Board of Examiners

President: Dr. Ktir Keltoum (MAA) - Echahid Echeikh Larbi Tebessi University, Tebessa

Supervisor: Dr. BOUAZIZ Amina (MCA) - Echahid Echeikh Larbi Tebessi University, Tebessa

Examiner: Mrs. Brahmi Halima (MAA) - Echahid Echeikh Larbi Tebessi University, Tebessa

2024/2025

Dedication 01

I dedicate this work to my beloved family, whose unwavering support has shaped every step of my journey. To my father, Ammar, and my beloved mother, Sarhouda, for their unconditional love and endless sacrifices. To my brothers, Hassan and Zaki my pride and strength. To my wonderful sisters: Radia, Mariam, Nosayba, Ikram, and Hadil your love and encouragement have lifted me through every challenge. To my brother's wife, Awatef, for her warmth, kindness, and constant support. And to the children of my siblings your innocence, smiles, and pure love bring light to my life and joy to my heart. This achievement is for all of you, with all my love and gratitude.

AZIZI HANA

Dedication 02

To my beloved family, your unwavering support, endless love, and constant encouragement have been the foundation of my success. This achievement would not have been possible without your sacrifices, prayers, and belief in me. I dedicate this milestone my Master's degree to you, with all my heart and gratitude. With love.

DJOUAD HICHAM

Acknowledgement

we would like to express our deepest gratitude to all those who supported us throughout the course of our Master's dissertation. First and foremost, we are profoundly grateful to our supervisor, BOUAZIZ Amina, for her continuous guidance, support, and insightful feedback throughout the research process. Her expertise and encouragement were instrumental in shaping this work. We also extend our sincere thanks to the faculty and staff of the English department, University of Echahid Echeikh Laarbi Tebessi for creating a stimulating academic environment and for the valuable resources and assistance provided during our study.

List of Abbreviations

APPG - All-Party Parliamentary Group

BBC - British Broadcasting Corporation

BDS - Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions

CAIR – Council on American-Islamic Relations

CDA - Critical Discourse Analysis

EU – European Union

FRA - EU Agency for Fundamental Rights

IDF - Israel Defense Forces

JCWI - Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants

NGO - Non-Governmental Organizations

OIC - Organization of Islamic Cooperation

OSCE – Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe

PLO - Palestinian Liberation Organization

UK – United Kingdom

UNHCR - United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNRWA - United Nations Relief and Works Agency

US – United States

Abstract

This study explores the intersection of colonial legacies, Islamophobia, and media framing in shaping the lived experiences of Palestinians in Britain. Through a mixed-methods approach combining Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), the archaeology of public policies, and the study of the realities of Palestinian migrants in Britain, the research examines the systemic challenges faced by this community. The events following October 7 have amplified Islamophobic narratives and securitization dynamics, further marginalizing Palestinians in Britain. The analysis highlights the role of media biases, restrictive asylum policies, and public discourse in reinforcing exclusion and hate speech targeting this group of migrants. By situating contemporary struggles within a historical continuum, the study emphasizes the resilience and activism of Palestinians in confronting systemic inequalities and advocating for justice.

Keywords: Palestinians in Britain, Islamophobia, media framing, colonial legacies, Critical Discourse Analysis.

Résumé

Cette étude explore l'intersection des héritages coloniaux, de l'islamophobie et du cadrage médiatique dans la formation des expériences vécues par les Palestiniens en Grande-Bretagne. À travers une méthodologie mixte combinant l'analyse critique du discours (ACD), l'archéologie des politiques publiques et l'étude des réalités des migrants palestiniens en Grande-Bretagne, l'étude examine les défis systémiques auxquels cette communauté est confrontée. Les événements postérieurs au 7 octobre ont intensifié les récits islamophobes et les dynamiques de sécurisation, accentuant la marginalisation des Palestiniens en Grande-Bretagne. L'analyse met en évidence le rôle des biais médiatiques, des politiques d'asile restrictives et du discours public dans le renforcement de l'exclusion ainsi que les discours haineux visant cette catégorie de migrants. En situant les luttes contemporaines dans une continuité historique, l'étude souligne la résilience et l'activisme des Palestiniens dans leur lutte contre les inégalités systémiques et leur plaidoyer en faveur de la justice.

Mots-clés : Palestiniens en Grande-Bretagne, islamophobie, encadrement médiatique, héritages coloniaux, analyse critique du discours.

ملخص:

تستكشف هذه الدراسة تقاطع الإرث الاستعماري، والإسلاموفوبيا، والتأطير الإعلامي في تشكيل التجارب الحياتية للفلسطينيين في بريطانيا (ACD) ، من خلال منهجية مختلطة تجمع بين التحليل النقدي للخطاب وأركيولوجيا السياسات العامة، ودراسة واقع لمهاجرين فلسطينيين في بريطانيا، تبحث الدراسة في التحديات النظامية التي تواجهها هذه الجالية. وقد أدت الأحداث التي تلت 7 أكتوبر إلى تصعيد السرديات الإسلاموفوبية وديناميكيات الأمننة، مما زاد من تهميش الفلسطينيين في بريطانيا. يبرز التحليل دور التحيز الإعلامي، وسياسات اللجوء التقييدية، والخطاب العام في تعزيز الإقصاء وكذا خطاب الكراهية تجاه هاته الفئة من المهاجرين. من خلال وضع النضالات المعاصرة ضمن استمرارية تاريخية، تؤكد الدراسة على صمود الفلسطينيين ونشاطهم في مواجهة أوجه عدم المساواة النظامية ودعوتهم للعدالة.

الكلمات المفتاحية:

الفلسطينيون في بريطانيا، الإسلاموفوبيا، التأطير الإعلامي، الإرث الاستعماري، التحليل النقدي للخطاب.

Table of content

Dedication 01 I

Dedication 02 II

Acknowledgement III

List of Abbreviations IV

Abstract V

Résumé VI

ملخص VII

Table of content VIII

General Introduction 1

Chapter 1: Palestinian immigration to Brittan 8

1.1. Historical Background and Causes of Palestinian Immigration 8

1.1.1 The Nakba of 1948 and Mass Displacement 9

1.1.2 The 1967 Six-Day War and Further Displacement 11

1.1.3 Economic and Social Drivers of Migration 14

1.1.4 Political Factors Influencing Migration 16

1.1.5 Britain's Historical Involvement in Palestine 19

1.1.6 The British Mandate and Its Impact 21

1.1.7 Refugee Status and Legal Pathways for Migration 23

1.2. Palestinian Immigration Waves to Britain 26

1.2.1 Early Palestinian Presence in Britain 26

1.2.2 The Impact of the 1948 Nakba on Migration 28

1.2.3 The 1967 War and a New Wave of Migration 30

1.2.4 Palestinian Political Activists and Exiles in Britain 33

1.2.5 Palestinian Migration Due to the Oslo Accords and the Second Intifada 35

1.2.6 Palestinian Professionals and the British Job Market 38

1.2.7 The Palestinian Refugee Crisis and Britain's Response 39

Conclusion 42

Chapter 2: Islamophobia in Britain 43

2.1. Definitions of Islamophobia 43

2.2.Historical Roots of Islamophobia 48

2.2.1. Medieval Christian-Muslim Relations and the Crusades 48

2.2.2. The Racialization of Muslims in the Modern Era 51

2.2.3. Islamophobia in the Post-9/11 World 53

2.2.4. Contemporary Manifestations and Countermeasures 55

2.3. Causes of Islamophobia 57

2.3.1. Media Representation and Misinformation 57

2.3.2. Socio-Economic causes 59

2.3.3. Cultural Misunderstanding and Stereotypes 59

2.4.Dimensions and Manifestations 61

2.4.1.Hate Crimes and Violence Against Muslim Communities 61

2.4.2.Discrimination in Employment, Education, and Public Life 63

2.4.3.Psychological and Social Impact on Individuals and Communities 63

2.4.4.Institutional and Structural Islamophobia 64

2.5. The Role of Media in Shaping Islamophobia 65

2.5.1.Biased News Coverage and Stereotyping of Muslims 65

2.5.2.The Impact of Hollywood and Entertainment Media 67

2.5.3.Social Media Platforms and Online Hate Speech 69

2.5.4. Example of extreme hate speech and incitement to violence against Palestinian, immigrants. 70

2.5.4.A. Dehumanization and Collective Punishment 71

2.5.4.B. Incitement to Genocide 71

2.5.4.C. Dangerous Rhetoric That Undermines Peace 72

2.5.4.D. Violations of Platform Guidelines and National Laws 72

Conclusion 72

Chapter 3. Islamophobia in Britain after 7th October 74

3.1. British Media Coverage Post-October 7 74

3.1.1. Media Selection and Methodology 75

3.1.1.A Justification for selected outlets (BBC, The Guardian, The Times, Daily Mail, etc.) 75

3.1.1.B. Content analysis criteria: tone, language, imagery, source attribution 76

3.2. Framing of Palestinians in Coverage 77

3.2.1.Victim vs Aggressor Dichotomy 77

3.2.2.Use of loaded terminology 78

3.3. Social and Political Effects on Palestinian Immigrants in Britain 79

3.3.1. Palestinian Voices: Field Interview Results 80

3.3.1.A.Testimonies on post-October 7 experiences: fear, stigma, discrimination 80

3.3.1.B.Policing and Surveillance 80

3.3.1.C.Educational Discrimination 80

3.3.1.D.Media Framing and Public Perception 81

3.3.1.5EEmployment and Economic Exclusion 81

3.3.1.F.Daily Fear and Emotional Impact 82

3.3.2.Psychological and emotional impact 82

3.3.2.A.Collective Trauma and Historical Memory (do not use letters, use numbers) 82

3.3.2.B.Anxiety, Fear, and Hypervigilance 82

3.3.2.C.Depression and Isolation 83

3.3.2.D.Internal Conflict and Identity Struggles 83

3.3.2.E.Impact on Children and Youth 83

3.3.2.F.Coping Mechanisms and the Role of Faith 84

3.4. Policy and Public Response 84

3.4.1.Shifts in UK immigration and asylum policy 84

3.4.1.A.Tightening of Immigration Rules Post-October 7 84

3.4.1.B.Impact on Family Reunification Applications 85

3.4.1.C.Gaza Family Asylum Ruling 86

3.4.1.D.Silence and Ambiguity from Political Leadership 86

3.4.1.E.Civil Society Mobilization and Solidarity 87

3.4.1.F.Future Implications and Legal Challenges 87

3.4.2.High-profile legal cases (e.g., Gaza family asylum ruling) 87

3.4.2.A.The Landmark Gaza Family Ruling 89

3.4.2.B.Legal Argument Grounded in Statelessness 90

3.4.2.C.Government Resistance and Appeal Considerations 91

3.4.2.D.Legal Aid and Grassroots Advocacy 92

3.4.2.E.Ripple Effect on Other Legal Cases 93

3.4.2.F.Limitations and Ongoing Challenges 93

3.4.3.Statements from Political Leaders and Civil Society 95

3.5. Rise in Islamophobia and Social Tensions 97

3.5.1. Hate Crime Statistics and Reported Incidents 97

3.5.2.Community Advocacy and Protective Measures 99

3.5.3. Media's Role in Amplifying or Mitigating Tensions 102

Conclusion 103

General Conclusion 104

Works Cited 108

General Introduction

The Palestinian diaspora in Britain emerged from a century of displacement, beginning with the collapse of Ottoman rule and accelerating under the British Mandate (1920–1948). During this period, Britain's contradictory policies including the 1917 Balfour Declaration's promise of a Jewish national home laid the groundwork for the 1948 Nakba, which forcibly displaced over 700,000 Palestinians (Khalidi). While early Palestinian migrants to Britain were primarily students and merchants, the post-1948 period saw the first wave of refugees, many of whom settled in industrial cities like Manchester and London (Sayigh 63). This migration was shaped by Britain's colonial ties to Palestine, creating a complex dynamic of both sanctuary and historical responsibility.

The 1967 Six-Day War triggered a second major displacement, as Israel's occupation of the West Bank and Gaza rendered thousands stateless. Unlike the 1948 refugees, who were predominantly rural, this wave included urban professionals and intellectuals who sought asylum in Europe (Pappe 85). Britain's immigration policies at the time, though not explicitly exclusionary, imposed stringent vetting that disproportionately affected Palestinians lacking state-issued documents. By the 1980s, Palestinian communities had established cultural hubs in London and Birmingham, yet faced systemic barriers in housing and employment due to racial and religious discrimination (Mason 96).

The Oslo Accords (1993–1995) and subsequent Second Intifada (2000–2005) reshaped migration patterns once again. While Oslo created limited opportunities for return, its failure—coupled with Israeli settlement expansion—pushed many middle-class Palestinians to seek economic stability abroad Britain's asylum system during this period became increasingly securitized, with Palestinian applicants often stereotyped as potential security risks (Fiddian-

Qasmiyeh). Concurrently, the UK's Palestinian diaspora grew more visible, organizing advocacy networks like the Palestine Solidarity Campaign to challenge discriminatory policies.

The 2010s marked a turning point as younger, British-born Palestinians began asserting their dual identities. Unlike their parents, who often prioritized political invisibility, this generation mobilized around issues like Islamophobia and the right of return (Tatari, 2014). However, their activism coincided with rising anti-immigrant sentiment, exemplified by the UK's "hostile environment" policies The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed inequalities, as Palestinians in Britain faced disproportionate health risks due to overcrowded housing and frontline employment (ICPS).

Today, Britain's Palestinian community stands at a critical juncture. The events following October 7, 2023—including Israel's bombardment of Gaza and the UK's political response—have reignited debates about belonging, security, and justice. These events have deeply impacted the Palestinian diaspora in Britain, intensifying both public scrutiny and internal reflections on identity and activism. As the political and humanitarian crisis unfolded, British Palestinians took to social media to amplify their narratives and challenge dominant discourses, seeking to raise awareness about the plight of Gaza and the broader Palestinian struggle (Amara).

However, this digital activism has been met with significant challenges. The escalation of Islamophobia, heightened surveillance of Muslim communities, and increasingly restrictive asylum policies have compounded the difficulties faced by Palestinians in Britain. These challenges are not new but are part of broader systems of exclusion that have long marginalized Palestinian voices. The UK's political and media landscape has often framed Palestinians through the lens of securitization, portraying them as threats rather than contributors to society. This framing not only distorts public perception but also justifies policies that disproportionately target Palestinians and other marginalized groups

Situating these contemporary struggles within a historical continuum highlights the enduring influence of colonial legacies. Britain's historical involvement in the Middle East, including its role in the

creation of Israel and the displacement of Palestinians, continues to reverberate in the lives of Palestinian Britons. These colonial histories inform modern immigration and asylum policies, which often mirror the exclusions and hierarchies established during the British Mandate. This legacy perpetuates systemic inequities, limiting opportunities for Palestinians to fully integrate into British society while maintaining their cultural and political identities.

At the same time, the resilience of British Palestinians is evident in their efforts to forge solidarity across racial, religious, and national lines. Grassroots organizations and advocacy groups have emerged as key players in mobilizing support for Palestine, challenging Islamophobia, and promoting justice and human rights. These efforts are crucial for fostering a sense of community and agency, even in the face of systemic barriers. By framing their struggles within broader movements for decolonization and social justice, Palestinians in Britain are not only resisting oppression but also reimagining possibilities for a more inclusive and equitable society.

This study underscores the importance of understanding British Palestinians' experiences through a multi-dimensional lens that incorporates history, policy, and lived realities. It reveals how the intersections of colonial legacies, Islamophobia, and securitization shape contemporary Palestinian struggles in Britain. Ultimately, it is through these critical reflections that paths forward can be envisioned—paths that challenge structural inequities, honor cultural resilience, and advocate for justice and belonging in an increasingly polarized world.

While existing scholarship has documented Palestinian migration patterns to Europe (Sayigh), significant gaps remain in understanding how:

- The UK's unique colonial relationship with Palestine has influenced immigration trajectories
- Post-October 7 geopolitical tensions have exacerbated Islamophobic discourses targeting Palestinians

- Contemporary asylum policies reflect historical continuities in Britain's treatment of Palestinian refugees

This dissertation employs a tripartite analytical framework to:

- Trace the historical evolution of Palestinian immigration to Britain across three generations
- Deconstruct media representations and policy responses following the 2023 Gaza escalation
- Evaluate how intersecting identities (refugee, Muslim, Arab) compound experiences of marginalization

The study addresses four core questions:

- How did British immigration policies respond differently to Palestinian refugees compared to other displaced populations?
- In what ways did UK media framing of Palestinians shift during periods of intensified conflict?
- What institutional barriers do Palestinian asylum seekers face in Britain's current legal landscape?
- How do second-generation British Palestinians navigate dual identities amid rising Islamophobia?

The research employs a mixed-methods approach to analyze the discourse and lived experiences surrounding Palestinian immigration in the United Kingdom. First, a Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) was conducted on over 150 news articles published between October 2023 and March 2024. These articles were sourced from prominent British media outlets, including *BBC News*, *The Guardian*, *The Times*, and *Daily Mail*. The CDA sought to uncover patterns in media narratives, particularly the framing of Palestinian identities and migration issues, which are often shaped by broader political and cultural biases (Research Methodology 4).

A third component of the research involved policy archaeology, which examined Home Office documents and tribunal rulings issued since 2020. This analysis illuminated how institutional frameworks and legal precedents have shaped the experiences of Palestinian immigrants. By tracing policy developments, the study identified systemic barriers and recurring themes in decision-making processes, contributing to a nuanced critique of immigration governance in the UK

To frame the analysis, an intersectional approach was adopted, drawing from refugee studies and Islamophobia scholarship. This framework integrated Malkki's (1995) seminal work on the "national order of things," which critiques the spatial and political marginalization of refugees, with Sayyid's (2014) scholarship on Islamophobia, which contextualizes anti-Muslim prejudice within broader systems of exclusion. Together, these perspectives provided a robust theoretical basis for understanding the intersectional dynamics of Palestinian immigration in Britain

A historical approach examines the displacement of Palestinians, starting from the Nakba (1948), alongside Britain's colonial role and evolving immigration policies. It links these to the history of Islamophobia, rooted in colonial perceptions of Muslims and amplified by events like 9/11 and the War on Terror. This contextualizes systemic biases and highlights Palestinian resilience amidst marginalization.

The study began with an introduction encompassing all the key elements related to the topic. It has been divided into three chapters in order to comprehensively address the various aspects of the topic. The first chapter explores the historical and political context of Palestinian immigration to Britain. It begins by examining major events that led to mass displacement, such as the Nakba of 1948, the 1967 Six-Day War, and the British Mandate's legacy in Palestine. These events are analyzed alongside economic, social, and legal factors that influenced

Palestinian migration. The chapter also traces various waves of immigration to Britain, from early Palestinian presence to later influxes linked to the Oslo Accords and Second Intifada. Special attention is given to the legal status of refugees, Britain's immigration responses, and the integration of Palestinian professionals into the British labor market.

The second chapter delves into the phenomenon of Islamophobia in Britain, beginning with definitions and the historical roots of anti-Muslim sentiment, including medieval Christian-Muslim relations, Orientalist stereotypes, and post-9/11 narratives. The chapter then identifies key drivers of Islamophobia, such as media bias, economic anxieties, and cultural misconceptions, and illustrates how Islamophobia manifests through hate crimes, discrimination in employment and education, and institutional practices. A substantial portion is devoted to the role of the media—including news outlets, entertainment, and social media platforms—in shaping negative perceptions of Muslims and Palestinians, with specific examples of online hate speech and incitement to violence.

The third chapter focuses on the rise of Islamophobia in Britain following the events of October 7, particularly how Palestinians have been represented in British media. It analyzes coverage from outlets like the BBC, The Guardian, and Daily Mail, highlighting the use of loaded language and framing that positions Palestinians within a victim-aggressor dichotomy. The chapter also presents qualitative fieldwork, including testimonies from Palestinian immigrants regarding increased surveillance, social exclusion, and psychological distress. Additionally, it examines shifts in immigration and asylum policy, high-profile legal cases such as the Gaza family ruling, and reactions from civil society. The chapter concludes by addressing the escalation of hate crimes and the community's efforts to counteract rising social tensions and concluded with a comprehensive summary of the study's findings and their implications.

Chapter 1: Palestinian immigration to Brittan

Palestinian immigration to Britain is a multifaceted and evolving phenomenon that has been shaped by a complex interplay of historical, political, and socio-economic factors. The movement of Palestinians to Britain spans several waves, each driven by distinct causes, ranging from the violent upheavals of the 1948 Nakba to the political instability following the 1967 Six-Day War and the broader dynamics of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. These migrations have been motivated by the search for safety, political freedom, and better economic prospects, as well as the desire to preserve cultural identity in the face of displacement. Britain's historical connections to Palestine, particularly during the British Mandate period, and its policies toward refugees and asylum seekers have played a significant role in shaping the migration patterns of Palestinians.

From the early 20th century, when Palestinian students and traders arrived in Britain, to the waves of refugees seeking asylum following the Nakba and subsequent conflicts, the Palestinian diaspora in the UK has grown in size and influence. Over the years, Palestinian migration to Britain has not only been a response to the immediate crises of war and occupation but also to the broader political and economic challenges faced by Palestinians living in the occupied territories. This immigration has led to the establishment of vibrant Palestinian communities in cities such as London, Manchester, and Birmingham, where many Palestinians have sought to rebuild their lives, contribute to British society, and continue advocating for the rights of their people.

1.1. Historical Background and Causes of Palestinian Immigration

The history of Palestinian immigration is deeply intertwined with the broader geopolitical and historical developments of the 20th century. The Palestinian diaspora, particularly in relation

to the migration of Palestinians to Britain, has been shaped by a series of traumatic and transformative events. The most significant of these events is the 1948 Nakba, which led to the displacement of approximately 700,000 Palestinians following the establishment of the State of Israel. This mass exodus, fueled by violence, fear, and direct expulsions, marked the beginning of a long-standing refugee crisis that has affected generations of Palestinians.

1.1.1 The Nakba of 1948 and Mass Displacement

In 1948, the establishment of the State of Israel led to one of the most significant and tragic events in Palestinian history—the Nakba, or "catastrophe." This term describes the mass displacement of approximately 700,000 Palestinian Arabs, who were either directly expelled from their homes or fled due to the escalating violence associated with the creation of Israel. The Nakba did not occur in isolation; it was the culmination of years of increasing tensions between Jewish and Arab communities, exacerbated by British colonial rule under the Mandate for Palestine (Flapan, 1987, p. 5). The socio-political landscape of the region had been changing for decades, with Arab Palestinians resisting the growing presence of Zionist settlers and their ambitions for a Jewish state. The establishment of Israel resulted in the forceful reorganization of the demographic and territorial realities of Palestine, an event whose repercussions are still felt to this day.

The first wave of Palestinian displacement began in 1947 with the United Nations Partition Plan, which proposed dividing Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states. While the plan was accepted by the Jewish leadership, it was rejected by the Arab League, which led to violent confrontations. These early skirmishes marked the beginning of a full-scale conflict between Zionist militias and Palestinian Arabs, causing widespread fear and insecurity among the Arab population (Khalidi, 2006, p. 53). As violence escalated, thousands of Palestinians fled their homes in fear of attack, while others were forcibly expelled by Israeli military forces. The

primary reason for the exodus was the widespread violence that accompanied the military campaigns in the areas designated for the new state of Israel. The fear of retribution and the potential for future violence played significant roles in motivating the mass migration of Palestinians.

By mid-1948, the situation had become dire for Palestinians. The newly formed Israeli Defense Forces (IDF), along with Jewish paramilitary groups such as the Haganah, Irgun, and Lehi, systematically targeted Arab villages and towns. These military operations were designed not only to secure territory but also to create a "demographic balance" that favored the Jewish population. Numerous accounts and testimonies from Palestinian refugees describe the brutality of these operations, which included massacres, the destruction of homes, and the burning of entire villages (Pappe, 2006, p. 88). In some areas, the expulsion was systematic, as Israeli forces marched through Palestinian villages, forcing families to leave, often at gunpoint, and never return. The psychological impact of this violence was profound, with many Palestinians describing their departure as a forced eviction from their ancestral land.

The exodus of Palestinians in 1948 also had a significant cultural and societal impact. The Nakba not only involved the loss of homes and property but also resulted in the severing of deep-rooted social ties and connections to the land. For Palestinians, many of whom had lived in the region for centuries, the loss was not only material but also emotional. Families were scattered across neighboring Arab countries, including Lebanon, Syria, Jordan, and Egypt, where they became refugees in camps, living in substandard conditions and facing challenges in securing legal status. This disruption of Palestinian society led to the creation of a large diaspora community, which would play an essential role in the internationalization of the Palestinian cause in the years to come (Sayigh 102).

Despite the adversity faced by the Palestinian refugees, the Nakba also catalyzed the development of Palestinian nationalism. The displacement became a unifying event, fostering a sense of shared identity among Palestinians scattered across the Middle East. It was during this period that the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) was formed in 1964, marking a significant step toward organizing Palestinians into a political entity with the goal of returning to their homeland. The refugee experience also led to the creation of a new Palestinian narrative, one that emphasized resistance and the right of return. The Nakba remains a central element in Palestinian identity, shaping their national consciousness and influencing their demands for justice, including the right of return for refugees (Khalidi 135).

The aftermath of the Nakba continues to reverberate in the geopolitics of the region, especially in the ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The displacement of Palestinians and the creation of refugee populations have become central issues in peace negotiations. The refugee camps, initially intended as temporary shelters, have become permanent homes for generations of Palestinians, with the situation remaining unresolved for over seven decades. The Nakba not only changed the demographics of the region but also set the stage for a protracted conflict over land, identity, and rights. To this day, the issue of Palestinian refugees and their right to return remains one of the most contentious aspects of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Flapan 15).

1.1.2 The 1967 Six-Day War and Further Displacement

The Six-Day War, which took place from June 5 to June 10, 1967, had profound consequences for the Palestinian population, particularly for those living in the occupied territories of the West Bank, Gaza Strip, and East Jerusalem. Israel's decisive military victory in the war resulted in the occupation of these areas, which had been under Jordanian and Egyptian control, respectively. This new phase of occupation exacerbated the already dire situation of Palestinian refugees, many of whom had been displaced during the 1948 Nakba and were forced

to flee once again (Flapa 10). The war not only shifted the territorial boundaries in the region but also intensified the refugee crisis, further solidifying the long-term displacement of Palestinian populations.

The occupation of the West Bank, Gaza Strip, and East Jerusalem led to the displacement of an additional 300,000 Palestinians, many of whom had previously fled during the 1948 conflict. The psychological and physical trauma faced by those who were displaced for the second time was immense. For these individuals and families, the loss of their homes and livelihoods was compounded by the deep sense of loss from having been uprooted once again. The new displacement was not simply a result of the Israeli military's offensive operations but also of the systematic Israeli policies aimed at altering the demographic makeup of the occupied territories (Khalidi 112). The Israeli government implemented a series of measures, including home demolitions, expulsions, and restrictions on movement, which further marginalized the Palestinian population.

Many of the refugees who fled during the Six-Day War sought shelter in existing refugee camps, which had already been overcrowded since the 1948 displacement. These camps, initially intended as temporary shelters, were unable to accommodate the additional influx of displaced Palestinians. The overcrowding in the camps worsened the living conditions of refugees, who faced inadequate housing, poor sanitation, and limited access to healthcare and education. This created an environment of poverty and social instability that would persist for decades. The refugee camps became symbols of Palestinian dispossession, and their inhabitants' plight gained increasing attention from international organizations and human rights groups (Sayigh 120).

The second wave of displacement following the 1967 war also marked a shift in the dynamics of Palestinian nationalism. While the 1948 Nakba had already given rise to the Palestinian refugee identity, the events of 1967 deepened the sense of collective trauma and

reinforced the need for a political solution to the Palestinian question. The creation of the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) in the 1960s, with the aim of advocating for Palestinian self-determination and the right of return for refugees, became even more crucial in the wake of the 1967 war. The issue of Palestinian refugees, particularly those displaced by the war, became central to the PLO's political agenda (Pappe 93). This period also saw the growth of Palestinian resistance movements within the occupied territories, which sought to confront the Israeli occupation and demand the return of Palestinian refugees.

Moreover, the impact of the 1967 war on Palestinian refugees was felt beyond the Middle East. As Palestinian populations spread across the Arab world and beyond, the refugee issue became an international concern. The war accelerated the politicization of the Palestinian cause, and the refugee crisis was increasingly framed as a humanitarian and human rights issue. International organizations such as the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees (UNRWA) played a vital role in providing aid and support to Palestinian refugees, yet the inability to resolve the underlying political conflict made it clear that the situation required a broader political resolution. The international community became more involved, though diplomatic efforts to resolve the refugee issue, including the right of return, remained largely unsuccessful (Flapan 15).

The legacy of the 1967 war continues to shape the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The territories occupied by Israel in 1967, particularly the West Bank and Gaza, remain central to the conflict, with Palestinian refugees still demanding the right to return to their homes. Over time, the refugee issue has become one of the most intractable aspects of peace negotiations between Israel and the Palestinian Authority. The 1967 displacement compounded the demographic challenges faced by Palestinians, and despite numerous efforts for peace, the question of Palestinian refugees remains unresolved. The lasting effects of this displacement can still be seen

in the enduring refugee camps and in the continuing struggle for Palestinian statehood and recognition (Khalidi 146).

1.1.3 Economic and Social Drivers of Migration

Beyond the immediate impact of conflict-induced displacement, economic factors have played a significant role in shaping Palestinian migration patterns. Following the 1967 occupation of the West Bank, Gaza Strip, and East Jerusalem, the Palestinian economy began to suffer under the weight of occupation and the restrictions imposed by Israeli policies. High unemployment rates, a lack of economic infrastructure, and limited access to resources severely hindered economic development in the occupied territories (Flapan 12). These conditions created a harsh environment where many Palestinians found it increasingly difficult to secure stable livelihoods. The absence of economic opportunities within the territories led to a desire among many Palestinians to seek better prospects abroad, with countries like Britain becoming attractive destinations for migration.

One of the primary economic challenges Palestinians faced was the restriction on movement. Israeli military orders and checkpoints across the West Bank and Gaza Strip severely curtailed Palestinians' ability to travel freely within their own territories, let alone outside of them. This restriction on movement was not only a daily inconvenience but also a major impediment to economic activity. Many Palestinian workers were unable to access jobs in neighboring countries like Jordan and Israel, which had traditionally provided employment for Palestinians (Khalidi 72). The lack of mobility limited Palestinians' access to markets and opportunities for trade, further exacerbating their economic hardship. Consequently, many sought to migrate to Western countries, including Britain, where they believed they could find employment and escape the oppressive conditions in the occupied territories.

The economic decline in the occupied Palestinian territories also worsened due to the Israeli control over vital resources such as water, land, and agricultural production. As Israel expanded settlements and took control of fertile land in the West Bank, Palestinians were left with fewer resources to sustain their livelihoods. Agriculture, once a cornerstone of the Palestinian economy, was severely affected by restrictions on land use and access to water sources. As a result, many Palestinian farmers found themselves unable to maintain their livelihoods and were forced to abandon their agricultural practices, contributing to the broader migration trend (Sayigh 112). Economic desperation, combined with the political instability of the region, prompted many Palestinians to look for opportunities abroad, where they could support themselves and their families.

The economic difficulties faced by Palestinians were further compounded by Israel's imposition of trade restrictions. The closure of borders and the restriction of goods entering and leaving the occupied territories stifled the Palestinian economy. Many Palestinians, particularly those who had relied on cross-border trade with neighboring countries, found themselves without a source of income. The inability to access international markets and the harsh economic conditions within the territories made it clear that migration was one of the few viable options for those seeking a better future (Pappe 94). For many Palestinians, migrating to Britain offered a promising alternative, as the UK had a relatively established Palestinian diaspora, and the prospect of employment in industrial sectors provided economic security.

The migration of Palestinians to Britain was also motivated by the desire to escape the daily hardships and uncertainty caused by the ongoing occupation. For many, moving to a country like Britain provided not only better job prospects but also a sense of stability and security. Britain, with its established Palestinian community and the prospect of a better standard of living, became a focal point for many Palestinian migrants. The migrant experience in Britain,

however, was not without its challenges. Many Palestinians encountered discrimination and prejudice, particularly in the early stages of migration. Yet, for many, the benefits of economic opportunity and the relative safety of living outside the occupied territories outweighed these challenges (Sayigh 115).

The economic factors driving Palestinian migration have continued to shape the demographics of Palestinian communities abroad. While political displacement due to war and occupation remains a core reason for migration, economic factors have increasingly driven the movement of Palestinians in search of better livelihoods. Today, Palestinians continue to migrate in search of opportunities, with many establishing new lives in countries such as Britain, the United States, and Canada. The quest for economic stability remains central to Palestinian migration, with individuals seeking work opportunities to provide for their families and ensure a better future. As long as economic challenges persist in the occupied territories, Palestinian migration to other parts of the world is likely to continue (Flapan 15).

1.1.4 Political Factors Influencing Migration

Political instability and the lack of a sovereign state have long been significant drivers of Palestinian migration. Since the establishment of Israel in 1948, the Palestinian people have faced continuous political upheaval, displacement, and the denial of their right to self-determination. The absence of political stability, compounded by the ongoing Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories, has left Palestinians without a secure homeland and the ability to govern their own affairs. As a result, many have sought refuge abroad in hopes of finding safety, political freedom, and opportunities for a better life (Flapan 15). The migration of Palestinians is thus intimately tied to their ongoing struggle for political sovereignty and independence.

Following the 1948 Nakba, the mass displacement of Palestinians created a significant refugee crisis, with Palestinians finding themselves in neighboring Arab countries or in refugee

camps. The loss of their homes and land led many Palestinians to experience not only material deprivation but also a loss of political agency. For many, the inability to participate in the political decision-making processes of their own country fostered feelings of marginalization and disenfranchisement. Over time, this disillusionment with the prospects of returning to a free and independent Palestine, combined with the ongoing political and economic challenges in exile, led to further waves of Palestinian migration, as individuals and families sought stability and opportunities elsewhere (Khalidi 118).

The situation of Palestinians in the occupied territories, particularly after the 1967 Six-Day War, further exacerbated the conditions for migration. The occupation of the West Bank, Gaza Strip, and East Jerusalem by Israel led to a military regime that imposed strict controls on the daily lives of Palestinians. These restrictions, which included curfews, checkpoints, land confiscations, and limited access to basic services, contributed to a sense of political disenfranchisement. The lack of sovereignty and control over their own territory made many Palestinians feel that they had no future within the occupied territories. As a result, a significant number of Palestinians, particularly those with higher education or professional skills, began seeking asylum and employment opportunities in countries like Britain, where they could live free from the oppressive constraints of occupation (Pappe 103).

Moreover, the formation of the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) in the 1960s was a direct response to the political instability and lack of sovereignty that Palestinians experienced. The PLO sought to unite Palestinians under one umbrella organization, advocating for their political rights, including the right of return for refugees and the establishment of a Palestinian state. However, despite the PLO's efforts and the support it garnered, Palestinians continued to face political suppression and a lack of meaningful representation. The failure of political movements to achieve concrete results led many to view migration as a way to escape

the unstable political environment and secure a future for themselves and their families (Khalidi 145).

The continued political instability and the failure to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict resulted in a protracted sense of helplessness for many Palestinians. The Palestinian diaspora has continued to grow over the years, with successive generations of Palestinians born in exile. These refugees have grown up in environments where their political rights and aspirations were stifled, which further compounded the desire to migrate. Many Palestinians living in refugee camps or in countries without formal citizenship or legal recognition viewed migration as a way to attain political freedom and escape the precariousness of their stateless existence (Sayigh 130). For many, emigration offered the possibility of obtaining citizenship, political rights, and a sense of belonging, which remained elusive in their homeland.

In addition to the search for political freedom, migration has also been a response to the deteriorating security situation in Palestinian territories. Israeli military operations, settler violence, and sporadic outbreaks of war have created an atmosphere of uncertainty and fear. Palestinians living in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem have witnessed repeated cycles of violence and conflict, leading to significant loss of life and infrastructure. The constant threat to personal safety, coupled with the lack of political rights and security, has pushed many Palestinians to leave their homes in search of a more stable and secure environment. For those living in the refugee camps or under occupation, migration to countries like Britain represented an opportunity to escape not only economic hardship but also the constant insecurity that comes with living in a conflict zone (Pappe 107).

Political instability and the absence of a sovereign state have been central to Palestinian migration patterns. The ongoing occupation of Palestinian territories, lack of political rights, and the failure of political solutions to address Palestinian aspirations have all contributed to the

decision to migrate. The quest for security, political freedom, and a better quality of life has driven many Palestinians to seek refuge in countries such as Britain. Migration, for many Palestinians, has been both a response to their statelessness and a way to secure a future in a world where political instability continues to shape their existence (Flapan 18).

1.1.5 Britain's Historical Involvement in Palestine

Britain's involvement in Palestine began in the early 20th century, during a period of geopolitical shifts that would shape the region for decades to come. The Balfour Declaration of 1917 marked a turning point in British policy, as it expressed official support for the establishment of a "national home for the Jewish people" in Palestine. This declaration, made by the British Foreign Secretary Arthur Balfour, was directed to Lord Rothschild, a leader of the British Jewish community, and it was seen as a significant gesture towards Zionist aspirations. While the declaration was a diplomatic move to secure Jewish support during World War I, it had far-reaching consequences, as it laid the foundation for future tensions between the Jewish and Arab populations in Palestine (Khalidi 3).

Following the end of World War, I, the League of Nations granted Britain the Mandate for Palestine in 1920, formalizing British control over the region. The mandate system was designed to oversee former Ottoman territories that were not yet capable of self-rule, but it essentially turned Palestine into a British colony. The British were tasked with overseeing the political and administrative affairs of the region, but their conflicting promises to both Jewish and Arab populations—embodied in the Balfour Declaration and the McMahon-Hussein Correspondence (which promised Arab independence)—created a volatile environment. This dual commitment set the stage for decades of tensions and violence between Arabs, Jews, and the British authorities (Sayigh 118).

Under British rule, the population of Palestine grew significantly, largely due to Jewish immigration encouraged by the Zionist movement. Between 1920 and 1940, approximately 250,000 Jewish immigrants arrived in Palestine, which contributed to the rapid expansion of Jewish settlements, particularly in urban centers and agricultural colonies. However, this influx of Jewish immigrants led to mounting resentment among the Arab population, who saw their land and resources increasingly being taken over by foreign settlers. Arab protests against Jewish immigration and British policies became more frequent during the 1920s and 1930s, culminating in the Arab Revolt of 1936-1939. This revolt, which was a response to both British rule and Jewish immigration, demonstrated the deepening divisions within Palestinian society and the growing resistance to British control (Khalidi 102).

The British government found itself in a difficult position, as it was caught between the demands of the Jewish and Arab populations. To address these tensions, the British issued a series of white papers, including the White Paper of 1939, which sought to limit Jewish immigration to Palestine and proposed the establishment of an independent Palestinian state within ten years. However, this policy was met with strong opposition from the Zionist movement, which saw it as a betrayal of the promises made in the Balfour Declaration. At the same time, the Arab leadership rejected the proposal, arguing that it did not go far enough in granting full independence to Palestinians. This ongoing deadlock further exacerbated the political instability in the region (Pappe 78).

By the end of World War II, the situation in Palestine had become untenable for the British. The British public and political leaders were increasingly weary of the conflict, and the Zionist underground organizations, such as the Irgun and Haganah, resorted to violent tactics to pressure the British to leave. The British government, under mounting pressure, eventually decided to withdraw from Palestine and turn the issue over to the newly formed United Nations.

The UN proposed a partition plan in 1947, which would divide Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states, with Jerusalem as an international city. The plan was accepted by the Jewish leadership but rejected by the Arab states and Palestinian leaders, leading to civil war between Jews and Arabs and, ultimately, the 1948 Arab-Israeli War (Flapan 20).

The legacy of British involvement in Palestine continues to influence the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The creation of the State of Israel in 1948 and the subsequent displacement of Palestinian Arabs were direct outcomes of the policies implemented during the British Mandate. The division of the land, the legacy of Jewish immigration, and the unresolved issue of Palestinian self-determination all trace their roots to Britain's actions in the early 20th century. Despite its withdrawal from Palestine, Britain's role in shaping the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East remains a point of contention, as the consequences of its decisions continue to affect both Israeli and Palestinian populations (Khalidi 7).

1.1.6 The British Mandate and Its Impact

During the British Mandate period (1920–1948), tensions between Jewish and Arab communities in Palestine escalated dramatically. The conflicting promises made by the British to both groups were central to the unrest that characterized this period. The Balfour Declaration of 1917, which expressed British support for the establishment of a "national home for the Jewish people" in Palestine, was perceived by many Arabs as a betrayal, as it disregarded their aspirations for independence and sovereignty. In addition, the McMahon-Hussein Correspondence (1915–1916), in which the British promised Arab independence in exchange for support against the Ottoman Empire, created further confusion. These conflicting commitments set the stage for decades of discord, violence, and displacement for both Jewish and Arab populations (Khalidi 5).

The British Mandate administration attempted to manage these tensions through a series of policy adjustments and compromises, but they were largely ineffective. The influx of Jewish immigrants to Palestine, especially in the 1920s and 1930s, led to significant demographic changes in the region. The Zionist movement, with its goal of establishing a Jewish homeland in Palestine, advocated for increased Jewish immigration. However, the Arab population viewed this immigration with hostility, fearing the loss of their land and political rights. As the Jewish population grew, so did Arab opposition, which led to frequent clashes and riots, such as the 1929 Hebron massacre and the 1936–1939 Arab Revolt. These events exposed the deepening divisions and increasing violence between the two communities (Pappe 63).

The Arab Revolt of 1936–1939 was a particularly significant turning point in the Mandate period. It was a response to both British colonial policies and the continued Jewish immigration, which many Arabs saw as a violation of their rights. The revolt involved widespread strikes, protests, and acts of violence aimed at both British officials and Jewish settlements. Although the British responded with force, employing military and police action to suppress the revolt, the uprising highlighted the deep-seated resentment among the Arab population. This period of violence only exacerbated tensions and made it increasingly difficult for the British to maintain control over Palestine (Sayigh 129).

In response to the Arab Revolt and mounting violence, the British government issued a series of policy documents aimed at addressing the situation. One of the most notable of these was the White Paper of 1939, which sought to limit Jewish immigration and proposed the creation of a Palestinian state within ten years, with the idea of establishing a bi-national state in which both Jews and Arabs would have equal political rights. However, this policy was opposed by the Zionist movement, which viewed it as a betrayal of the promises made in the Balfour Declaration. Conversely, the Arab leadership rejected the proposal, arguing that it did not go far

enough in granting full independence to the Palestinian people. As a result, the White Paper failed to appease either side, further inflaming tensions (Flapan 18).

The ongoing unrest and failure of British policies to resolve the conflict led to a more radicalized atmosphere. The Zionist underground groups, such as the Irgun and the Stern Gang, began using violent tactics to pressure the British to end the mandate and allow the establishment of a Jewish state. These groups carried out bombings, assassinations, and other acts of terror against British officials and infrastructure. At the same time, Palestinian Arabs, frustrated by their lack of political representation and the absence of meaningful change, continued to organize protests and revolts against both British rule and Jewish immigration. This mutual hostility set the stage for the eventual collapse of the British Mandate and the partition of Palestine (Khalidi 115).

In 1947, Britain, exhausted by the ongoing conflict and unable to resolve the situation, referred the issue of Palestine to the newly formed United Nations. The UN proposed a partition plan that called for the division of Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states, with Jerusalem as an international city. The Jewish leadership accepted the plan, but the Arab states and Palestinian leaders rejected it, leading to the outbreak of civil war between Jews and Arabs. In 1948, when the State of Israel was declared, neighboring Arab states invaded Palestine, resulting in the first Arab-Israeli war. The conflict and the subsequent displacement of Palestinians would mark a major turning point in the region's history, shaping the future of Palestinian migration and exile (Flapan 22).

1.1.7 Refugee Status and Legal Pathways for Migration

The displaced Palestinians, who were forced to flee their homes during the 1948 Nakba and subsequent conflicts, were granted refugee status under international law. The United Nations established the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) in 1949 to provide assistance and protection to Palestinian refugees. UNRWA's mandate included offering education,

health services, and emergency relief to those who had been displaced by the 1948 war and subsequent hostilities. This organization played a crucial role in providing basic humanitarian support to Palestinians, particularly in refugee camps located in the surrounding Arab countries. Despite these efforts, the refugees were often left in a state of limbo, unable to return to their homeland and without a clear path to citizenship or long-term stability (Khalidi 8).

Over time, international law recognized Palestinian refugees as a distinct group, subject to certain rights and protections. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) was primarily responsible for refugees globally, but the case of Palestinians was handled separately due to their unique status. While the UNHCR worked with other refugee populations, UNRWA remained dedicated to the Palestinian cause. The refugees' right to return to their homeland, as articulated in United Nations General Assembly Resolution 194, was reaffirmed repeatedly, yet it has remained unresolved. As a result, many Palestinians found themselves stateless, living in overcrowded camps or under harsh conditions in neighboring countries, with limited opportunities for permanent resettlement (Flapan 11).

Despite their status as refugees, various legal pathways have allowed Palestinians to migrate to countries like Britain. Over the decades, family reunification programs, asylum procedures, and changes in immigration laws in several countries provided opportunities for Palestinians to escape the precarious conditions of refugee camps. Many Palestinians who had previously sought refuge in neighboring Arab states, such as Lebanon, Jordan, and Syria, found that their host countries' legal frameworks were often restrictive, offering limited rights or opportunities for naturalization. In contrast, Britain, along with other European nations, began to offer refuge to Palestinians, particularly in response to the Middle Eastern political dynamics and the growing Palestinian diaspora (Sayigh 143).

Family reunification policies have been one of the most common means by which Palestinians have migrated to Britain. These policies have enabled refugees who had already settled in countries like Jordan or Lebanon to bring family members to countries with more stable political systems and better economic opportunities. Over time, Britain's immigration policies evolved, offering Palestinian refugees pathways to long-term residency. Palestinians who had been displaced multiple times, often from one refugee camp to another, saw Britain as a place of refuge where they could escape the cycles of violence and displacement that characterized their lives in the Middle East (Khalidi 121).

Asylum procedures have also provided a route for Palestinians seeking protection in Britain. In the context of escalating conflicts in the Middle East, especially following the 1967 Six-Day War and later during the intifadas, many Palestinians fled to Europe seeking asylum from violence and political persecution. Britain's relatively open asylum system allowed many Palestinians to claim refugee status, particularly those fleeing from occupied territories or experiencing persecution in host countries that lacked comprehensive refugee protections. In the UK, Palestinians were able to seek asylum based on their status as refugees under international law, benefiting from the protections offered by the 1951 Refugee Convention and its subsequent amendments (Pappe 112).

Moreover, Britain's role as a former colonial power in Palestine added a unique dimension to the migration experience for Palestinians. Many Palestinian refugees who migrated to Britain in the decades following the establishment of Israel viewed Britain as a destination where they could access political support for their cause and a platform to raise awareness about the ongoing Palestinian struggle for self-determination. Palestinian migration to Britain, therefore, was not only a response to the lack of safety and security in the Middle East but also part of a broader effort to gain political recognition and solidarity from the international community (Khalidi 10).

The displacement of Palestinians and their refugee status under international law, primarily through UNRWA, has shaped migration patterns for decades. Legal pathways such as family reunification, asylum, and migration to countries like Britain have offered Palestinians opportunities for security, political freedom, and a better quality of life. Despite the challenges of statelessness and the unresolved question of the right of return, migration has remained a critical means for many Palestinians to escape the dire conditions of refugee life and to build a new future abroad (Flapan 15).

1.2. Palestinian Immigration Waves to Britain

The Immigration of Palestinians to Britain is a story that spans several decades, shaped by a series of significant political events and historical circumstances. From the early 20th century to the present day, various waves of Palestinian migration have been driven by political instability, war, displacement, and the search for better opportunities. The British role in Palestine, particularly during the Mandate period, set the stage for the initial flow of Palestinians to the UK, with subsequent waves of immigration heavily influenced by the broader geopolitical dynamics of the Middle East.

1.2.1 Early Palestinian Presence in Britain

Palestinians have had a presence in Britain since the early 20th century, with some arriving as students, traders, or diplomats under the British Mandate. During the British control of Palestine (1920–1948), many Palestinians were drawn to Britain for a variety of reasons, most notably for education. British universities, particularly those in London and Oxford, were among the most prestigious in the world at the time, offering opportunities for higher education that were unavailable in Palestine under Ottoman or British rule. These students often returned to Palestine with new skills and a broader perspective, becoming important figures in the political and intellectual development of Palestinian nationalism (Khalidi 12).

In addition to students, Palestinian traders and merchants also established connections with Britain. Palestine's economy, while primarily agrarian, had developed a modest commercial sector during the late Ottoman period, and British colonial influence further facilitated trade. British business interests in the Middle East, especially during the Mandate period, led to the growth of economic ties between Palestine and Britain. Palestinian traders often traveled to Britain to participate in business activities, and some even settled there, establishing small businesses and contributing to the growing Palestinian presence in the country (Sayigh 105).

The role of Palestinians as diplomats under the British Mandate also played a significant part in the early Palestinian presence in Britain. Prior to the creation of the State of Israel, Palestine was part of the British Empire, and Palestinian representatives were often stationed in London to communicate with the British government and advocate for Palestinian interests. These diplomats worked to ensure that Palestinian voices were heard in British political circles, particularly regarding issues such as land rights, immigration, and the political future of Palestine. Their presence in Britain marked the beginning of a more formalized Palestinian diaspora, though their impact on British policy toward Palestine was limited (Flapan 8).

The early Palestinian presence in Britain was also shaped by the complex relationship between the British government and the Palestinian people. As the British Mandate in Palestine progressed, tensions between Jewish and Arab populations in the region increased, particularly after the Balfour Declaration of 1917, which supported the establishment of a Jewish homeland in Palestine. This created divisions within Palestinian society, with some Palestinians seeing Britain as an imperial power responsible for their struggles, while others saw the British as potential allies in the fight for Palestinian autonomy. As a result, the Palestinian diaspora in Britain was initially a small but politically active group, with varying views on their relationship to the British Empire (Khalidi 97).

The establishment of the Palestinian diaspora in Britain was significantly influenced by colonial ties and the educational opportunities provided by British institutions. These early migrants, whether students, traders, or diplomats, were often part of a broader movement of intellectuals and activists who sought to influence international opinion on the Palestinian cause. British institutions such as the University of London and other prestigious academic establishments became gathering places for Palestinians seeking education and broader political engagement. Many Palestinian students in Britain became involved in the broader Arab nationalist movement and played crucial roles in advocating for Palestinian independence (Pappe 45).

In the decades following the end of the British Mandate and the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, the Palestinian community in Britain grew substantially, as refugees and displaced individuals sought asylum. However, the early Palestinian presence in Britain continued to serve as a foundation for later migration, as established Palestinian families provided support networks for new arrivals. These early communities laid the groundwork for what would become one of the most important diasporas for the Palestinian people, with Palestinians in Britain continuing to play an important role in both political advocacy and cultural preservation for future generations (Khalidi 12).

1.2.2 The Impact of the 1948 Nakba on Migration

The Nakba of 1948, or "catastrophe," led to the first major wave of Palestinian refugees seeking asylum in Britain. Following the establishment of the State of Israel and the violent displacement of approximately 700,000 Palestinians, many refugees fled to neighboring countries or to places with existing Palestinian communities. A significant number of those who had connections to Britain—whether through education, business, or prior diplomatic ties—sought asylum there. This was particularly true for Palestinians who had studied or worked in Britain

before 1948, as the loss of their homes, land, and livelihoods in Palestine left them with limited options for resettlement (Flapan 20).

For many of the early Palestinian refugees, returning to their homes in Palestine was no longer an option. The establishment of Israel and the violent nature of the conflict made it clear that many would never be able to return to their ancestral land. Palestinians who had lived in British cities before 1948 faced a difficult decision: to return to their war-torn country or to remain in the United Kingdom, which seemed a safer and more stable alternative. Many chose the latter, either because they had no family or property left to return to or because they had become more integrated into British society. These early refugees thus represented the beginning of a new chapter in Palestinian migration, one shaped by displacement, loss, and survival (Pappe 78).

The British government's response to the influx of Palestinian refugees in 1948 was limited and, at times, apathetic. While Britain had a long history of involvement in the region, its policies toward Palestinian refugees were shaped more by geopolitical considerations than by humanitarian concerns. The British government did not provide widespread refugee assistance to Palestinians and often viewed them through the lens of its broader foreign policy interests, particularly in relation to its former colonies and the Middle East. As a result, many Palestinian refugees found themselves without state support, relying on community networks and the charity of local Palestinian organizations to survive (Khalidi 15).

Palestinians who arrived in Britain in the aftermath of the Nakba were often left to fend for themselves. With little official support from the British state, they turned to their existing networks of family and friends within the Palestinian diaspora for assistance. These networks became essential for providing refugees with accommodation, employment opportunities, and emotional support during their difficult transitions. Early Palestinian refugees, many of whom

were highly educated or skilled professionals, were often able to find work in British cities such as London, Birmingham, and Manchester. However, they still faced challenges, including discrimination, language barriers, and the stigma of being refugees in a foreign country (Sayigh 102).

The displacement of Palestinians in 1948 and their subsequent migration to Britain had a lasting impact on the Palestinian community in the UK. In the years following their arrival, many Palestinians began to organize themselves politically and socially, advocating for their rights and raising awareness about the ongoing situation in Palestine. The Nakba and its aftermath shaped the Palestinian diaspora's identity, as early refugees in Britain became increasingly involved in the broader struggle for Palestinian self-determination. These early migrants laid the foundation for future generations of Palestinians in Britain, who would continue to play an important role in advocating for Palestinian rights (Khalidi 128).

The Nakba of 1948 marked the beginning of a significant wave of Palestinian refugees seeking asylum in Britain. Many of those who had studied or worked in Britain before 1948 chose not to return due to the devastation of their homes and the ongoing conflict. The British government's limited support for these refugees meant that they had to rely on community networks for survival, which helped establish the Palestinian diaspora in the UK. This early wave of Palestinian migration set the stage for future generations of Palestinians in Britain, who would continue to advocate for the Palestinian cause while grappling with the challenges of displacement and identity (Flapan 20).

1.2.3 The 1967 War and a New Wave of Migration

The Six-Day War in 1967 triggered another wave of Palestinian displacement. Israel's victory in the conflict resulted in the occupation of the West Bank, Gaza Strip, and East Jerusalem, and led to the displacement of around 300,000 Palestinians. For many of these

displaced individuals, this marked their second displacement, as they had originally fled during the 1948 Nakba. The second wave of displacement further compounded the trauma and uncertainty many Palestinians faced. In this context, Britain became an appealing destination for many Palestinians who sought stability, security, and a better future. The opportunity to reunite with family members who had settled in Britain or to take advantage of asylum policies provided a glimmer of hope for those displaced (Sayigh 45).

The trauma of displacement for the second time was particularly profound. For Palestinians who had already fled in 1948, returning to their homeland after the 1967 war was not a realistic option, as Israel's territorial expansion further entrenched the occupation of Palestinian lands. Many of these individuals found themselves once again in refugee camps, facing even greater hardship than before. With the ongoing instability in the region, including military occupation and curfews, many Palestinians sought to leave the Middle East altogether. Britain, with its established Palestinian diaspora and more open asylum policies at the time, became a key destination for these refugees (Pappe 94).

In the wake of the 1967 displacement, many Palestinians in the Middle East looked to Britain not only for safety but also for the chance to reunite with family members who had already migrated there in the 1948 wave of displacement. Family reunification policies in Britain allowed Palestinians to bring relatives from refugee camps or occupied territories to join them in the UK. These reunification programs were critical for fostering a sense of community and continuity for Palestinians who had already experienced the wrenching loss of family and home. However, the emotional and logistical challenges of bringing families together across borders were considerable, and many faced long waiting periods and bureaucratic obstacles (Khalidi 156).

While the desire to reunite with family and the hope for greater security motivated many Palestinians to seek refuge in Britain, the British government's strict immigration controls posed

significant obstacles. Despite the fact that many Palestinians were recognized as refugees under international law, Britain maintained tight restrictions on immigration. In the 1960s and 1970s, Britain began to impose stricter controls on asylum seekers and refugees, which made it increasingly difficult for Palestinians to gain permanent residency. The government's stance on immigration was heavily influenced by broader geopolitical considerations, as Britain sought to limit the number of refugees and migrants coming from the Middle East in the context of post-colonial relations (Flapan 23).

Although many Palestinians sought asylum in Britain, the process of obtaining refugee status was challenging and often drawn out. Asylum seekers had to prove that they faced persecution or had a well-founded fear of persecution in their home country. However, Palestinians from the occupied territories, particularly the West Bank and Gaza Strip, faced difficulties in demonstrating this due to the lack of clear legal recognition of their status under British law. As a result, many Palestinian refugees were left in legal limbo, unable to secure permanent residency and subjected to the uncertainties of temporary visas and limited rights (Sayigh 45).

The Six-Day War of 1967 triggered a second wave of Palestinian displacement, and many Palestinians sought refuge in Britain in search of stability and security. The hope of reuniting with family members and taking advantage of asylum policies provided a glimmer of opportunity for those fleeing the turmoil of the Middle East. However, Britain's strict immigration policies made it difficult for many Palestinians to gain permanent residency, forcing them to navigate bureaucratic obstacles in their search for a more secure life. The British government's immigration controls, combined with the trauma of repeated displacement, created significant challenges for Palestinians seeking refuge and stability in Britain (Sayigh 45).

1.2.4 Palestinian Political Activists and Exiles in Britain

From the 1970s onward, Britain became a hub for Palestinian political activists and intellectuals. The UK, with its historical ties to Palestine, served as an important location for Palestinians seeking to advocate for their rights and pursue political engagement. Many Palestinian organizations, including those aligned with the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), established a presence in British cities like London, where they could freely engage in political activities, network with other international groups, and raise awareness about the Palestinian cause. Britain's relatively open political environment allowed these groups to operate with a degree of freedom not available in many other countries (Abu-Lughod 63).

One of the most important features of Palestinian political activity in Britain was its strong presence within British universities. From the 1970s onward, Palestinian students and activists found British campuses to be fertile ground for raising awareness about Palestinian issues, including the struggle for self-determination and an end to the Israeli occupation. Many British universities hosted Palestinian student organizations, where activists organized protests, campaigns, and educational events aimed at educating the public and gaining support for the Palestinian cause. These student movements were instrumental in shaping public opinion in Britain and beyond, as they helped to amplify Palestinian voices on the global stage (Khalidi 182).

The presence of prominent Palestinian figures in Britain also contributed significantly to the political and intellectual landscape of Palestinian activism. Members of the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), including senior leaders and diplomats, resided in London during this period. These individuals played crucial roles in building international solidarity for Palestine, advocating for Palestinian self-determination, and participating in diplomatic efforts to raise awareness about the Palestinian cause. London, as an international hub, became an ideal location

for these figures to interact with key political actors, journalists, and supporters, further advancing their cause (Pappe 130).

The 1970s and 1980s also saw a rise in Palestinian intellectuals and scholars who became influential voices in the diaspora. These individuals contributed to the academic study of Palestinian history, culture, and politics, producing influential works that explored Palestinian identity, nationalism, and the ongoing conflict with Israel. British academic institutions provided a platform for Palestinian scholars to share their perspectives with a global audience, influencing public opinion and contributing to the growing body of literature on the Palestinian struggle. This intellectual movement helped to shape the discourse on Palestine within academia and fostered a greater understanding of the complexities of the conflict (Sayigh 120).

In addition to academic and political engagement, the 1970s and 1980s also saw the emergence of grassroots Palestinian organizations in Britain. These groups sought to support Palestinian refugees, advocate for Palestinian rights, and provide aid to Palestinian communities living under occupation. Some organizations also focused on fostering cultural exchange and preserving Palestinian heritage, which was increasingly at risk due to the ongoing occupation. The combination of political activism, intellectual engagement, and grassroots organizing helped to create a vibrant Palestinian community in Britain that was deeply involved in the international struggle for justice and self-determination (Khalidi 212).

By the end of the 20th century, Britain had become a key site for Palestinian political activity, with many important initiatives and advocacy efforts taking place within the country. The strong presence of Palestinian organizations, intellectuals, and activists in Britain continued to shape the discourse surrounding Palestinian rights, offering a platform for voices of resistance and providing support to the broader Palestinian struggle. In this way, Britain became more than

just a place of refuge for Palestinians; it became a crucial center for political and intellectual engagement with the ongoing conflict (Abu-Lughod 63).

1.2.5 Palestinian Migration Due to the Oslo Accords and the Second Intifada

The Oslo Accords in the 1990s and the Second Intifada (2000–2005) were pivotal events in the Palestinian experience, both of which contributed to a surge in Palestinian emigration to Britain. While the Oslo Accords initially raised hopes for a peaceful resolution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the eventual failure of these negotiations led to widespread disillusionment. Despite the promises of autonomy and peace, Palestinians living in the occupied territories continued to face violence, political instability, and economic hardship. These circumstances, coupled with the collapse of peace talks, encouraged many Palestinians to seek refuge abroad, with Britain being a primary destination due to its established Palestinian diaspora and relatively accessible immigration policies at the time (Shiblak 88).

The Oslo Accords, signed in 1993, created the Palestinian Authority (PA) and granted limited self-rule to the Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza Strip. However, the expectations for lasting peace were not met. Instead, the Oslo process revealed the deep divisions between the Israeli government and Palestinian leadership, and Palestinians continued to face daily hardships under Israeli occupation. Economic growth promised by the Accords never materialized, and corruption within the Palestinian Authority further disillusioned the population. These factors, combined with the increasing militarization of the conflict and the harsh realities of life under occupation, led many Palestinians to view emigration as the only option for a better future (Pappe 156).

The Second Intifada, which erupted in 2000, exacerbated the already dire conditions in the occupied territories. The Palestinian uprising against Israeli occupation was met with violent military responses, resulting in widespread casualties and further economic collapse. The Second

Intifada caused immense social and psychological damage, particularly for young Palestinians, many of whom faced limited prospects for education, employment, and personal safety. The conflict led to the imposition of curfews, closures, and restrictions on movement, significantly hindering Palestinians' ability to live normal lives. As a result, many sought to leave the occupied territories to escape the violence and oppression (Sayigh 200).

For many Palestinians, seeking refuge in Britain through student visas and work permits was an appealing option. British universities became a major destination for Palestinian students seeking to escape the instability at home while pursuing higher education. Student visas offered a pathway for young Palestinians to temporarily leave the harsh conditions of the occupied territories, gain access to education, and potentially build new futures abroad. Similarly, many Palestinians obtained work permits to find employment opportunities in the UK, seeking both economic stability and the chance to live in a less politically volatile environment (Shiblak. 88). These visas and permits allowed Palestinians to temporarily escape the economic and political pressures of life under occupation.

However, even as Britain became a major destination for Palestinian emigration, the process of migration was fraught with difficulties. The British government, while offering some pathways for legal immigration, maintained strict controls on asylum seekers and those seeking long-term residency. Palestinians who emigrated during this period often faced bureaucratic hurdles in securing permanent status, and the process of adjusting to life in the UK was not without its challenges. Many Palestinians struggled with finding stable employment, dealing with the trauma of displacement, and adapting to a foreign culture (Abu-Lughod 93). Additionally, the political context in Britain sometimes led to negative perceptions of Palestinian migrants, particularly during times of heightened political tension related to the broader Middle East conflict.

The continued instability in the Palestinian territories, combined with the opportunities provided by migration, had a profound impact on the Palestinian diaspora in Britain. Over time, Palestinian communities in the UK grew in size and strength, and many Palestinians became more politically active, engaging in solidarity movements, cultural preservation efforts, and advocacy for Palestinian rights. The migration of Palestinians during and after the Oslo process and the Second Intifada further solidified Britain as a center for Palestinian political activism and intellectual discourse (Khalidi 215).

The Oslo Accords and the Second Intifada were significant catalysts for Palestinian emigration to Britain. The failure of the peace process and the escalation of violence and political repression led many Palestinians to seek a better life abroad. Britain, with its historical ties to Palestine and its relatively open immigration policies, became a key destination for Palestinian refugees. The migration of Palestinians during this period not only affected their personal lives but also contributed to the strengthening of the Palestinian diaspora in the UK and the broader global struggle for Palestinian rights (Shiblak 88).

1.2.6 Palestinian Professionals and the British Job Market

Many Palestinian migrants to Britain were highly skilled professionals, including doctors, engineers, and academics, who made substantial contributions to British society. These migrants, often highly educated and experienced in their fields, sought refuge in Britain not only for safety but also for the opportunity to practice their professions and provide for their families. Their qualifications, gained through education or experience in the Middle East, enabled them to contribute to various sectors in Britain, including healthcare, engineering, and education. Palestinian doctors, for instance, became an integral part of the British National Health Service (NHS), helping to address workforce shortages, particularly in urban areas (Qutami 112).

The influx of skilled Palestinian migrants in the post-Oslo and post-Intifada period played a significant role in enriching British society. Palestinian engineers contributed to infrastructure projects, and many Palestinian academics joined British universities, where they became involved in research and teaching. These professionals not only provided essential services but also helped bridge cultural gaps between the Middle East and the West. Their presence in British society reflected the resilience of Palestinians, who, despite experiencing displacement and trauma, were able to rebuild their lives and contribute to their new communities (Sayigh 230).

Despite their qualifications, many Palestinian migrants faced considerable challenges in Britain due to shifting immigration policies. The British government's policies towards immigration and work permits have fluctuated over the years, and skilled migrants were not immune to these changes. Although some Palestinians were able to enter the UK on student or work visas, securing long-term residency or citizenship was often a difficult and protracted process. As immigration laws tightened, particularly in the 1980s and 1990s, many Palestinian professionals found themselves in precarious situations, struggling to meet the criteria for permanent residency or work permits (Shiblak 95).

Furthermore, discrimination in the workplace and societal prejudice often compounded these challenges. Despite their qualifications, some Palestinian migrants encountered barriers in accessing professional opportunities, especially in fields such as medicine, engineering, and academia. Many faced skepticism about their credentials, and some were forced to retrain or accept lower-paying jobs than their qualifications warranted. The stigma attached to being a Palestinian, particularly in the context of the broader Israeli-Palestinian conflict, sometimes hindered professional and social integration. Palestinians in the UK, like many other migrant groups, were often marginalized in ways that complicated their ability to fully participate in the workforce (Khalidi 187).

In addition to facing professional challenges, Palestinian migrants also had to navigate a complex social and political landscape in Britain. Many Palestinians maintained strong ties to their homeland, particularly to family members still living in the occupied territories or in refugee camps in neighboring countries. These connections were vital for the preservation of Palestinian identity and culture, even as they faced difficulties in establishing themselves in their new home. Palestinian communities in Britain often organized cultural events, political demonstrations, and advocacy efforts to raise awareness of the situation in Palestine, contributing to the wider global movement for Palestinian rights (Abu-Lughod 112).

Despite these struggles, the contributions of Palestinian migrants to British society are undeniable. Over time, many Palestinians successfully integrated into British society, taking on leadership roles in various fields and continuing to advocate for the rights of their people. The resilience and determination of Palestinian professionals in the face of adversity exemplify the broader Palestinian diaspora experience. Although their migration to Britain was often driven by political and economic hardships, their work, intellectual contributions, and activism played a crucial role in shaping British society and fostering international solidarity for Palestine (Qutami 112).

1.2.7 The Palestinian Refugee Crisis and Britain's Response

Britain's response to Palestinian refugees has been inconsistent over the decades, reflecting broader geopolitical shifts and domestic concerns. The UK government's stance on Palestinian refugees has been influenced by various factors, including political, economic, and security concerns, as well as its evolving relationship with Israel and the Middle East. While some Palestinian refugees have been granted asylum in Britain, many have faced strict immigration laws that limit their ability to settle permanently. The fluctuating nature of Britain’s immigration policies towards Palestinians often depends on the political climate and broader

international issues, such as the Middle East peace process or the UK's strategic alliances (Halliday 140).

Following the 1948 Nakba, a significant number of Palestinians fled to neighboring countries, with some also seeking refuge in Britain. Initially, there was some sympathy for Palestinian refugees, particularly in light of the British Mandate's role in the region. However, over time, Britain's approach became more cautious, influenced by growing concerns about the influx of refugees and the political implications of Palestinian migration. The British government often took a restrictive stance on asylum claims, making it difficult for Palestinians to gain permanent residency, despite their refugee status and dire circumstances (Shiblak 95). This ambivalence reflected a broader reluctance to accept large numbers of refugees, particularly in the context of changing immigration laws and the economic pressures of the post-war period.

The situation worsened during the 1960s and 1970s, when the UK tightened its immigration policies in response to a growing concern about non-European immigration. The arrival of Palestinian refugees during and after the Six-Day War in 1967, along with the Palestinian exile that followed the conflict, placed additional pressure on Britain's immigration system. While some Palestinians were able to enter the country on student or work visas, many others struggled to find legal pathways to stay permanently. As the UK government implemented more stringent immigration laws, Palestinians often faced difficulties in securing asylum, and those who had lived in the UK for extended periods were sometimes at risk of deportation (Sayigh 143).

The response of the UK government to Palestinian refugees has often been shaped by broader geopolitical considerations, particularly its relationship with Israel. Britain's alignment with Israel, especially in the context of the Cold War and later global counterterrorism efforts, has had a significant impact on its policies toward Palestinian migration. In many instances,

Britain's immigration policies seemed designed to reflect its diplomatic priorities, which often meant limiting Palestinian migration and asylum claims. This geopolitical alignment made it difficult for Palestinians to gain the same levels of asylum and residency rights as refugees from other parts of the world (Khalidi 190).

Throughout the decades, the British government's treatment of Palestinian refugees has fluctuated depending on its foreign policy objectives and domestic political factors. During periods of heightened international tension, particularly when conflicts in the Middle East intensified, Britain's policies toward Palestinian refugees became more restrictive. The UK's shifting response often aligned with its desire to maintain good relations with Israel and other regional allies, while also balancing concerns over the potential social and economic impact of large-scale immigration (Halliday 140). Consequently, many Palestinians in the UK lived with uncertainty, constantly navigating changing laws and policies that impacted their ability to stay or gain permanent status.

The inconsistent response to Palestinian refugees by the British government also influenced the experiences of the Palestinian diaspora in the UK. Despite the challenges, many Palestinian refugees managed to establish a vibrant community within Britain, advocating for their rights and raising awareness about their cause. Palestinian community organizations played an important role in providing support to refugees, including legal assistance, cultural activities, and political advocacy. However, the uncertainty surrounding immigration laws often created a sense of instability and insecurity within these communities, as many refugees feared deportation or being denied permanent residency (Abu-Lughod 119).

The response of the British government to Palestinian refugees has been shaped by a complex interplay of domestic, political, and geopolitical factors. While some Palestinians have found asylum and refuge in the UK, many have faced significant challenges due to shifting

immigration laws and the influence of broader international relations. As a result, Palestinian migration to Britain has been marked by uncertainty and fluctuation, reflecting both the political climate in the UK and the strategic interests of the British government in the Middle East (Halliday 140).

Conclusion

The history of Palestinian immigration to Britain reflects the broader struggles of the Palestinian people for self-determination, security, and recognition on the global stage. Each wave of immigration, whether driven by the events of 1948, the aftermath of the Six-Day War, or the political upheavals of the 1990s and 2000s, tells a story of resilience, survival, and adaptation. Palestinians who migrated to Britain have made significant contributions to the UK in fields ranging from medicine and engineering to academia and activism. Despite facing challenges such as discrimination, restrictive immigration policies, and political marginalization, Palestinian communities in Britain have maintained strong ties to their homeland while actively participating in the political and social life of their host country.

Over time, Britain has become an important center for Palestinian political advocacy, with the Palestinian diaspora playing a crucial role in raising awareness of the Palestinian cause and lobbying for international support. The history of Palestinian immigration to Britain is thus not only about migration but also about the ongoing fight for justice, dignity, and the preservation of Palestinian identity. As the Israeli-Palestinian conflict continues to evolve, the experiences of Palestinian migrants in Britain remain a testament to the enduring spirit of a people who, despite the hardships of exile, continue to strive for peace and equality both at home and abroad.

Chapter 2: Islamophobia in Britain

Islamophobia, as prejudice, hostility, or discrimination against Islam and Muslims, has become a growing concern in many societies worldwide. This phenomenon manifests in various ways, from hate crimes and violence to systemic discrimination in employment, education, and public policies. The rise of Islamophobia has been fueled by biased media representations, political rhetoric, and global incidents that have shaped public perceptions of Muslims. Addressing this issue requires a multifaceted approach, including legal protections, community engagement, interfaith dialogue, and educational initiatives. Understanding the root causes, manifestations, and consequences of Islamophobia is crucial in developing effective strategies to counter this form of discrimination and promote inclusivity and tolerance in society.

2.1. Definitions of Islamophobia

Islamophobia, a term divided into two part "Islam ", refers to the monotheistic religion and,"phobia,"Greek word refers to the fear and prejudice against Muslims, particularly those who resist wearing headscarves. It gained wider usage after the Runnymede Trust's 1997 report, which analyzed the historical experiences of Muslims in the UK, media bias, and social exclusion. Islamophobia is a form of racism, aiming to maintain the purity of the dominant group. It stems from a distorted Western perception of Islam, fueled by ignorance and miscommunication, and is functional in creating enemies and expanding authority (jaber 1_3).

Islamophobia, a term derived from the Greek word "phobos," meaning fear, and "Islam," referring to the religion followed by Muslims, describes the irrational fear, hatred, or discrimination against Islam and Muslims. The phenomenon has gained increasing attention in the 21st century due to its societal impact, particularly after major global events like the

September 11 attacks in the United States. As defined by Allen, Islamophobia is "a contemporary manifestation of racism that targets expressions of Muslim identity" (Allen 15).

Historically, misconceptions about Islam have been prevalent in various societies, with Western perceptions often shaped by historical conflicts such as the Crusades and later by colonial narratives. The persistence of these distorted perceptions has contributed to a long-standing association of Islam with violence and extremism. As Esposito notes, "Islamophobia reflects deep-seated fears rooted in centuries of cultural and religious competition" (Esposito 22).

In modern times, globalization and increased migration have brought Muslim communities into closer contact with non-Muslim populations, sometimes resulting in friction fueled by ignorance and misinformation. Media representation, in particular, plays a significant role in shaping public perceptions of Muslims. Research indicates that media outlets in some regions disproportionately associate Islam with terrorism, reinforcing stereotypes and increasing societal fear (Chandra et al 34).

Moreover, Islamophobia is not confined to individual prejudices but extends into structural and institutional practices. Policies related to immigration, national security, and surveillance often disproportionately target Muslim communities, reinforcing their marginalization. According to a study published by the Bridge Initiative, "institutional Islamophobia normalizes discriminatory practices, embedding them within societal norms" (Esposito and Aziz 45).

Addressing Islamophobia requires a multifaceted approach that includes education, policy reforms, and intercultural dialogue. Increasing awareness about the diversity within Muslim communities and challenging media narratives are critical steps toward reducing fear and fostering social cohesion.

Islamophobia reflects an irrational fear and prejudice against Islam and Muslims, rooted in stereotypes and cultural ignorance. It perpetuates social exclusion and power imbalances by stigmatizing visible expressions of Muslim identity.

The fear of or biased attitude toward Islam, Muslims, and issues related to them is known as Islamophobia. Although Islamophobia is not a recent problem, many Muslim communities in Europe are currently dealing with an increasingly hostile atmosphere that is marked by mistrust, ingrained prejudice, ignorance, and, occasionally, verbal and physical abuse. Islamophobia is a threat to societal cohesiveness and a violation of human rights, regardless of whether it manifests as more violent forms of racism and discrimination or more commonplace manifestations (Ramberg 6).

Islamophobia is a pervasive issue characterized by fear, mistrust, and prejudice toward Islam and Muslims, which manifests in both overt and subtle forms of discrimination. This hostility threatens societal harmony and violates fundamental human rights, fostering exclusion and division in communities.

Islamophobia, according to Dalal Al-Shammari, is the animosity toward Islam that fuels the globalization of prejudice and division against Muslims. She gave the following definition: Acts of fear or animosity toward the Islamic faith are known as Islamophobia. This unwarranted fear has played a significant role in the global discrimination against Muslims. It serves as a foundation for the exclusion of Muslims from the political sphere and from social classes. (qtd. In Affaf & Faten 8)

Islamophobia is the irrational fear of Islam and Muslims, which leads to the appearance of hostile and hatred acts towards Muslims, either physical or verbal. Also it can reach even the

devastation of Islamic property, such as, mosques, Islamic schools, and Islamic graveyards (Abu-Saway 1).

According to Awan and Zempi Islamophobia is: **“**A fear, prejudice and hatred of Muslims or non-Muslim individuals that leads to provocation, hostility and intolerance by means of threatening, harassment, abuse, incitement and intimidation of Muslims and non-Muslims, both in the online and offline world. Motivated by institutional, ideological, political and religious hostility that transcends into structural and cultural racism which targets the symbols and markers of a being a Muslim**” (**Awan, Zempi 2)**.**

The definition of Imran Awan and Irene Zempi underscores the multifaceted nature of Islamophobia, highlighting its manifestation through various forms of hostility and discrimination. The Runnymede Trust's 1997 report, "Islamophobia: A Challenge for Us All," describes Islamophobia as: "An outlook or world-view involving an unfounded dread and dislike of Muslims, which results in practices of exclusion and discrimination."(house of commons library 4).

This perspective in the previous report emphasizes the irrational fear and exclusionary practices stemming from Islamophobic attitudes. The Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) defines Islamophobia as: A contemporary form of racism and xenophobia motivated by unfounded fear, mistrust, and hatred of Muslims and Islam.This definition highlights the broad spectrum of negative sentiments directed not only towards Muslims but also towards symbols and practices associated with Islam. The All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on British Muslims offers a definition stating that Islamophobia is: Rooted in racism and is a type of racism that targets expressions of Muslimness or perceived Muslimness.

Islamophobia represents a deep-seated societal issue that extends beyond simple misunderstandings or isolated acts of prejudice. It is rooted in a complex interplay of historical mistrust, political agendas, and cultural ignorance, as evidenced by various scholars and reports, including the Runnymede Trust's 1997 analysis. This form of discrimination functions not only as a social bias but as a strategic tool to reinforce power dynamics and exclude Muslims from mainstream society. The targeting of religious symbols, such as the hijab, illustrates how deeply personal religious expressions become politicized and weaponized to uphold dominant cultural narratives. This systemic bias reduces Islam to a threat rather than a faith practiced by over a billion people globally, reflecting a Western-centric worldview where the "othering" of Muslims becomes normalized.

2.2. Historical Roots of Islamophobia

To fully understand the persistence of Islamophobia in contemporary society, it is essential to explore its deep historical roots. Islamophobia did not emerge in isolation or overnight; rather, it is the product of centuries of religious, political, and cultural conflict. From the Crusades and medieval Christian polemics to colonial domination and orientalist discourse, Western perceptions of Islam have long been shaped by fear, rivalry, and misunderstanding. These early portrayals established enduring stereotypes that continue to influence modern attitudes and policies toward Muslims. This section traces the development of Islamophobia across key historical periods, revealing how past narratives continue to inform present-day prejudice.

2.2.1. Medieval Christian-Muslim Relations and the Crusades

The historical roots of Islamophobia can be traced back to the early interactions between Christians and Muslims during the Middle Ages. When Islam emerged in the 7th century and

rapidly expanded into territories previously under Christian control—such as the Levant, North Africa, and Spain—it was perceived as both a political and theological threat. Early Christian theologians struggled to understand this new religion, often interpreting it through the lens of heresy. One of the first major Christian thinkers to write about Islam, John of Damascus, labeled it a "heresy of the Ishmaelites," framing the Prophet Muhammad as a false prophet and Islam as a deviation from Christian doctrine (Griffith 35). This foundational mischaracterization influenced subsequent theological attitudes and sowed the seeds of enduring hostility.

The institutionalization of Christian opposition to Islam became more pronounced with the Crusades, launched at the end of the 11th century. Pope Urban II's call in 1095 for a holy war to reclaim Jerusalem marked a turning point in Christian-Muslim relations. The Crusades were framed as spiritual duties, and Muslims were depicted as "infidels" whose defeat would earn salvation for Christian warriors. The religious zeal that fueled these campaigns was deeply tied to papal propaganda, which vilified Muslims as enemies of God (Tyerman 97). The resulting military conflicts entrenched perceptions of Islam as an existential and theological adversary, a sentiment that would persist in European thought for centuries.

Medieval literature played a significant role in shaping the popular image of Muslims in Christian Europe. Epic poems such as *The Song of Roland* portrayed Muslims as polytheistic idolaters and moral savages, completely divorced from the actual monotheistic and ethical tenets of Islam. These depictions were not merely fictional—they served as tools of cultural propaganda that simplified and demonized the Muslim "Other" (Tolan 49). By presenting Muslims as irrational, violent, and morally corrupt, these literary works helped reinforce a binary worldview that placed Christian Europe in opposition to the Islamic world.

Despite the dominant narrative of conflict, Christian-Muslim interactions during the Middle Ages were not uniformly hostile. In regions like Al-Andalus (Muslim Spain), a relatively tolerant and intellectually rich culture emerged, marked by coexistence and cultural exchange among Muslims, Christians, and Jews. This period saw advancements in science, philosophy, and the arts that were later transmitted to Europe, playing a key role in the European Renaissance. As Menocal notes, "the medieval world was often far more complex and tolerant than the crusading propaganda suggested" (68). These peaceful interactions, however, were largely overshadowed by the dominant discourse of religious enmity.

The Crusader states established in the Levant also facilitated a more pragmatic kind of interfaith contact. While Crusaders initially arrived with fervent zeal, they were often compelled to negotiate, trade, and even ally with Muslim rulers in order to survive. These pragmatic relationships reveal the complex and multi-dimensional nature of medieval Christian-Muslim relations, which were not limited to battlefield conflict (Menocal 74). Nonetheless, such nuanced realities rarely penetrated the broader European consciousness, which remained shaped by religious dogma and militarized narratives.

The memory of the Crusades has had a lasting impact on Western conceptions of Islam. Modern political rhetoric often draws parallels between contemporary conflicts in the Middle East and the medieval Crusades, reinforcing the perception of a timeless clash of civilizations. According to Edward Said, Western media and scholarship have continued to perpetuate a monolithic and adversarial image of Islam, deeply rooted in historical misconceptions (Said 6). This historical continuity demonstrates how medieval constructs of the Muslim "enemy" still inform present-day Islamophobia.

The Christian-Muslim relations of the medieval period—particularly during the Crusades—laid the ideological groundwork for what would later evolve into Islamophobia. Through theological writings, militaristic campaigns, and cultural portrayals, Islam was systematically framed as a threat to Christian identity and Western civilization. While moments of tolerance and cultural exchange did exist, they were often marginalized in the dominant narratives. These deep-rooted misconceptions continue to echo in modern attitudes, highlighting the enduring legacy of medieval Christian-Muslim conflict.

2.2.2. The Racialization of Muslims in the Modern Era

In the modern era, Muslims have increasingly been racialized—that is, perceived not merely as followers of a religion but as a distinct racial or ethnic group, often associated with inherent traits and presumed behaviors. This process separates Islam from its theological foundations and instead marks Muslims as a homogeneous and suspect population. As Sahar Aziz notes, the racialization of Muslims has become particularly pronounced in the West, where "Muslim" is no longer only a religious identity but a racialized one often tied to stereotypes of violence, backwardness, and anti-modernity (Aziz 102).

This racialization has been fueled by global political events, especially those involving acts of terrorism. The September 11, 2001 attacks in the United States dramatically intensified scrutiny of Muslims worldwide and framed them within a security paradigm. As a result, Muslims became targets of suspicion, surveillance, and profiling—not based on individual actions but on perceived group identity. Rana illustrates how post-9/11 policies in the U.S. transformed Muslims into a "suspect race," subjected to racialized policing and institutional exclusion (Rana 15).

Media representations have played a central role in the racialization of Muslims by perpetuating simplistic and negative portrayals. In Western media, Muslims are frequently associated with terrorism, oppression of women, and cultural incompatibility with democratic values. These portrayals reinforce public fears and justify discriminatory practices. Jack Shaheen's content analysis of over 900 Hollywood films revealed that Arabs and Muslims are consistently depicted as villains or threats to Western civilization (Shaheen 18). Such persistent stereotyping contributes to a broader culture of Islamophobia and racial profiling.

The racialization process also intersects with immigration and citizenship discourses. In many Western countries, Muslim immigrants are portrayed as perpetual outsiders who refuse to assimilate. These perceptions fuel nationalist and anti-immigration rhetoric, suggesting that Muslim presence undermines social cohesion. As Naber argues, Arab and Muslim Americans are often positioned as the "other" in national identity narratives, seen as foreign regardless of their citizenship status (Naber 36). This framing denies the diversity within Muslim communities and reduces them to a single, racialized threat.

The effects of this racialization extend beyond public perception and into policy. Counterterrorism measures, surveillance programs, and immigration controls frequently target Muslims under the guise of national security. Programs such as the NYPD's Muslim surveillance project and the U.S. government's NSEERS registration system institutionalized suspicion towards Muslims, often violating civil liberties (Aziz 110). These measures reflect and reinforce the notion that Muslims are inherently dangerous and in need of control.

Importantly, the racialization of Muslims is not confined to the West. In countries like China and India, Muslim minorities such as the Uyghurs and Indian Muslims face systemic discrimination, mass surveillance, and even violence, often justified through nationalist or anti-

terror rhetoric. These global patterns suggest that racialized Islamophobia is not merely a cultural phenomenon but a political tool used to marginalize and control specific populations. As Said points out, Orientalist assumptions continue to shape global power relations, reducing Muslim identities to static, dangerous caricatures (Said 7).

The racialization of Muslims in the modern era has transformed Islam from a faith into a racialized identity subject to suspicion, exclusion, and control. This process is maintained through media, politics, and policy, and it intersects with broader systems of race and power. Understanding the mechanisms and impacts of this racialization is essential for combating contemporary Islamophobia, promoting a more nuanced, and equitable understanding of Muslim communities.

What was striking even more is how this racialization has been institutionalized in policies and practices. When law enforcement or immigration systems disproportionately target Muslims, it sends a clear message: certain bodies, certain names, and certain faiths are less welcome. As someone who values justice and equality, I find this unacceptable. It's not just a violation of individual rights—it's a distortion of the very principles democratic societies claim to uphold. Fghting Islamophobia means recognizing how it intersects with racism, and committing to systemic change that ensures people are not judged by what they look like or where they come from, but by who they are.

2.2.3. Islamophobia in the Post-9/11 World

The events of September 11, 2001, marked a pivotal turning point in global perceptions of Islam and Muslims. In the aftermath of the attacks, Islamophobia intensified dramatically, particularly in the United States and Europe. Muslims were increasingly perceived not as individuals, but as a monolithic group inherently linked to terrorism and extremism. This

association fostered a culture of fear and suspicion that shaped media narratives, public policy, and everyday social interactions (Kundnani 10).

Governments around the world, particularly in the West, responded to 9/11 by implementing sweeping counterterrorism laws and national security policies. Many of these policies disproportionately targeted Muslim populations. In the United States, programs like the Patriot Act and the National Security Entry-Exit Registration System (NSEERS) enabled racial profiling, surveillance, and detention of Muslims, particularly immigrants and those from Muslim-majority countries (Cainkar 24). These measures institutionalized Islamophobia by embedding it into the legal and bureaucratic framework of the state.

The media played a central role in reinforcing post-9/11 Islamophobic sentiments. News outlets regularly used language that conflated Islam with terrorism, often referring to attackers simply as "Muslim extremists" while failing to distinguish between the actions of a few and the beliefs of over a billion followers worldwide. As Shaheen observes, this media portrayal contributed to the demonization of Muslims and normalized discriminatory discourse in public debate (Shaheen 25). Hollywood films, television shows, and even news channels perpetuated these tropes, influencing how the general public perceived Muslim identities.

Socially, Muslims in the post-9/11 world experienced heightened discrimination and violence. Hate crimes against Muslims surged in the United States and across Europe. For example, the FBI reported a 1,600% increase in anti-Muslim hate crimes in the year following the attacks (Jamal and Naber 32). Women wearing hijab became visible targets, and Muslim communities faced vandalism, arson, and verbal abuse. These acts were often justified or ignored under the guise of national security, further alienating Muslim citizens.

Islamophobia also expanded globally in the post-9/11 era. Countries such as France, the United Kingdom, and Australia passed laws or policies that directly or indirectly targeted Muslim practices, such as bans on religious symbols, restrictions on mosque construction, or limitations on Islamic schooling. These measures were often justified in the name of secularism or national identity but disproportionately affected Muslim communities (Cesari 55). The global war on terror provided the political context for these actions, framing them as part of a broader civilizational struggle.

Moreover, the war on terror allowed authoritarian regimes to justify crackdowns on their own Muslim populations. In China, for instance, the state labeled Uyghur Muslims as extremists to justify mass internment, surveillance, and cultural erasure. In India, rising Hindu nationalism led to increased marginalization and violence against Muslims, often under the pretext of countering terrorism (Abbas 18). Thus, Islamophobia after 9/11 became not just a Western problem, but a transnational one with local manifestations.

The post-9/11 world saw the globalization and institutionalization of Islamophobia. Policies, media narratives, and social attitudes converged to cast Muslims as perpetual suspects and threats to national security. These developments have had long-lasting effects on civil liberties, community relations, and the global perception of Islam. Recognizing and addressing the root causes of post-9/11 Islamophobia is essential to advancing human rights and fostering inclusive societies.

2.2.4. Contemporary Manifestations and Countermeasures

In recent years, Islamophobia has manifested in both overt and subtle forms across various sectors of society. From discriminatory policies to hate crimes, the prejudice against Muslims continues to evolve in contemporary settings. These manifestations often stem from

historical biases, amplified by modern socio-political contexts, including the war on terror and global migration crises (Kumar 89). The persistence of Islamophobia necessitates a deeper understanding of its manifestations and the implementation of effective countermeasures.

One prominent contemporary manifestation is institutional Islamophobia. Policies such as the "Muslim Ban" in the United States and discriminatory counter-terrorism measures in Europe reflect systemic biases against Muslims (Bayoumi 41). These policies not only marginalize Muslim communities but also legitimize public xenophobia by reinforcing the false narrative that Muslims are inherently dangerous. Institutional actions often serve as a foundation for the broader societal acceptance of prejudice.

Another manifestation is the increase in hate crimes targeting Muslims. Reports from organizations like the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) show a steady rise in incidents involving harassment, physical violence, and vandalism of mosques in the last decade (Ahmed 27). Such attacks are often fueled by inflammatory rhetoric from public figures and media outlets, further entrenching fear and hostility. These acts of violence undermine the safety and dignity of Muslim individuals and communities.

Social media platforms have also emerged as a breeding ground for Islamophobia. Online spaces allow the rapid dissemination of anti-Muslim content, from hate speech to conspiracy theories. This digital Islamophobia can have real-world consequences, including radicalizing individuals to commit acts of violence (Awan 132). Despite efforts by tech companies to regulate harmful content, loopholes in enforcement allow the perpetuation of discriminatory narratives online.

Countermeasures to Islamophobia must address both its structural and societal roots. Education plays a critical role in challenging stereotypes and promoting interfaith understanding.

Integrating accurate representations of Islam and its diverse followers into school curricula can help dismantle misconceptions (Esposito 56). Similarly, public awareness campaigns that highlight the contributions of Muslim communities to society can foster empathy and inclusivity.

Another essential countermeasure is advocacy for policy reform. Civil rights organizations and activists continue to push for the repeal of discriminatory laws and the implementation of protections for religious minorities. Strengthening hate crime legislation and ensuring equal access to justice are crucial steps in combating institutionalized Islamophobia (Bayoumi 78). Grassroots movements also play a vital role in mobilizing communities to resist prejudice and promote solidarity.

Islamophobia remains a pressing issue with multifaceted contemporary manifestations, from institutional policies to online hate. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach, including education, policy reform, and grassroots activism. By fostering a culture of understanding and mutual respect, societies can move towards eradicating Islamophobia and building inclusive communities. The fight against prejudice is ongoing, but with concerted efforts, progress is both possible and necessary.

2.3. Causes of Islamophobia

The causes of Islamophobia are multifaceted, stemming from historical, social, political, and media-driven factors. Understanding these causes is crucial to addressing and mitigating Islamophobic attitudes and behaviors.

2.3.1. Media Representation and Misinformation

The media has a profound influence on public perception of Muslims, often reinforcing negative stereotypes. A study found that terrorist attacks by Muslims received 357% more media coverage than those by non-Muslims, even though the latter were more frequent (Kearns et al.

998). This disproportionate attention skews public understanding and associates Islam with violence.

Social media amplifies Islamophobic narratives by enabling the rapid spread of misinformation. Hate speech targeting Muslims circulates widely on platforms like Twitter and Facebook, often without intervention, intensifying public biases and fears (Vidgen and Yasseri 5).Social media amplifies Islamophobia by spreading misinformation and hate speech targeting Muslims, often unchecked on platforms like Twitter and Facebook. This fosters biases and fear, normalizing discrimination and deepening societal divides.

Traditional media in the UK has also been found to contribute significantly to Islamophobia. For instance, GB News accounted for half of all negative UK news stories about Muslims, indicating a systemic bias in editorial focus and content (The Guardian). Traditional media in the UK plays a significant role in perpetuating Islamophobia by disproportionately focusing on negative narratives about Muslims. The high volume of biased coverage, as seen with GB News, reflects a systemic issue where editorial agendas prioritize sensationalism over fairness. This selective portrayal reinforces stereotypes, shaping public opinion against Muslims and contributing to societal prejudice.

Moreover, Muslim women are frequently misrepresented in the media. They are often portrayed as oppressed, reducing their identities to mere symbols of patriarchal subjugation, which reinforces Western misconceptions about Islamic cultures (Mastro 3). The media's portrayal of Muslim women as oppressed perpetuates a narrow and reductive view of their identities. By framing them primarily as victims of patriarchal subjugation, the media not only erases their agency but also reinforces Western misconceptions about Islamic cultures. This oversimplification sustains a distorted narrative that hinders understanding and empathy.

2.3.2. Socio-Economic causes

Islamophobia is not solely rooted in cultural or religious prejudice but is also influenced by economic anxieties. During economic downturns, Muslims are often scapegoated as taking jobs or burdening public services, despite data disproving such claims (SAGE Journals). Muslims frequently face barriers in employment and education, contributing to a cycle of poverty and exclusion. These systemic issues are wrongly attributed to a lack of integration or cultural incompatibility (Oxford Research Encyclopedias). This underscores that the real problems lie in systemic discrimination rather than cultural differences, making it harder for Muslims to succeed.

In many urban settings, Muslims are concentrated in underprivileged neighborhoods, often due to discriminatory housing and employment practices. These conditions lead to higher visibility of poverty and crime, which are then wrongly generalized to all Muslims (Massoud 4). Discriminatory practices force Muslims into underprivileged areas, where visible poverty and crime fuel harmful stereotypes about the entire community.

2.3.3. Cultural Misunderstanding and Stereotypes

Misconceptions about Islamic beliefs and customs significantly contribute to Islamophobia. Practices such as fasting or wearing the hijab are often seen through a lens of foreignness or extremism due to ignorance rather than understanding (Lone Star College).

The stereotype of Muslims as inherently violent or extremist is rooted in historical and media-driven narratives. These portrayals ignore the vast diversity of Muslims worldwide and reduce a faith tradition of over a billion people to a fringe few (SAGE Journals).

Educational and interfaith dialogue initiatives are proven methods of reducing cultural ignorance. By fostering understanding and engagement, these initiatives help counteract myths and encourage empathy between communities (Facing History).

Greater representation of Muslims in public life—including politics, education, and media—plays a pivotal role in dismantling stereotypes and fostering inclusivity. When Muslims occupy visible roles in these sectors, they provide authentic narratives that counteract the oversimplified and often negative portrayals seen in traditional media. This visibility humanizes Muslim identities, challenging the monolithic stereotypes that paint the community as homogenous or inherently tied to conflict or extremism (Government of Canada). By showcasing the diversity and contributions of Muslims, greater representation can reshape societal perceptions and reduce fear-based biases.

In politics, Muslim leaders bring crucial perspectives to the policymaking process, advocating for equitable practices and policies that benefit not only their communities but society as a whole. Their presence challenges Islamophobic narratives by demonstrating their commitment to democratic values and the betterment of the broader public. Moreover, representation in politics serves as an inspiration for younger generations, encouraging them to engage in civic life and assert their voices in shaping their futures.

In education, Muslim educators and scholars have the potential to create more inclusive learning environments by integrating diverse cultural and religious perspectives into curricula. Their presence helps combat ignorance and misinformation about Islam while fostering mutual respect among students of different backgrounds. This proactive approach to representation in education not only benefits Muslim students but also promotes a culture of understanding and empathy among all learners.

The media industry is perhaps one of the most influential spaces where Muslim representation can drive significant change. Authentic storytelling by Muslim writers, directors, and actors can break the cycle of negative stereotypes that dominate mainstream narratives. Films,

television, and news outlets that feature diverse Muslim voices have the power to shift public discourse, emphasizing shared human experiences rather than divisive imagery. Platforms like these also provide Muslims the opportunity to reclaim their narratives and present their identities in their own terms.

However, representation alone is not enough; it must be accompanied by meaningful engagement and structural changes to ensure that Muslim voices are genuinely heard and valued. Tokenism or superficial inclusion risks perpetuating stereotypes rather than dismantling them. Efforts to increase representation should prioritize authenticity and diversity within the Muslim community, reflecting the multitude of ethnicities, cultures, and perspectives that define it.

2.4. Dimensions and Manifestations

Islamophobia manifests in various dimensions, including individual attitudes, systemic discrimination, and cultural representations. It can lead to social exclusion, economic disadvantages, and psychological distress among Muslim communities. Understanding these dimensions is crucial for developing effective strategies to combat Islamophobia and promote social cohesion.

2.4.1. Hate Crimes and Violence Against Muslim Communities

In the United States, anti-Muslim and anti-Palestinian incidents surged by approximately 70% in the first half of 2024, coinciding with heightened tensions in the Middle East. The Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) reported 4,951 complaints during this period, encompassing hate crimes, workplace discrimination, and educational biases. Severe cases included physical assaults and even murder (Reuters).

Similarly, in Europe, Muslims have faced a "worrying surge" in racism and discrimination. A survey conducted by the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) revealed that nearly half

of the Muslim respondents experienced discrimination, with incidents ranging from school bullying to prejudice in housing and employment. This rise in anti-Muslim sentiment has been partly attributed to dehumanizing rhetoric and conflicts in the Middle East (FRA).

In Europe, nearly half of Muslims face discrimination, fueled by dehumanizing rhetoric and Middle Eastern conflicts, worsening prejudice in schools, housing, and jobs.

The FRA's 2024 report highlights that Muslim women, men, and children are targeted not just because of their religion, but also because of their skin color and ethnic or immigrant background. Young Muslims born in the EU and women wearing religious clothing are especially affected. These findings underscore the pervasive nature of discrimination faced by Muslims in Europe (FRA).

The increase in anti-Muslim incidents is not confined to specific regions but is a global phenomenon. In Canada, for instance, there have been reports of vandalism and attacks on mosques, prompting condemnation from government officials. Such incidents reflect a broader pattern of Islamophobia that transcends national boundaries (Reuters). (UNRIC)

Addressing this alarming trend requires concerted efforts from governments, civil society, and international organizations. Implementing robust anti-discrimination laws, promoting inclusive education, and fostering interfaith dialogue are essential steps toward combating Islamophobia and ensuring the safety and dignity of Muslim communities worldwide (FRA).

2.4.2. Discrimination in Employment, Education, and Public Life

Discrimination against Muslims permeates various sectors, notably employment and education. Studies have shown that Muslims often face bias in hiring processes, promotions, and job assignments due to their religious identity. For example, research indicates that job applicants with Muslim-sounding names receive fewer callbacks compared to their non-Muslim

counterparts, highlighting systemic biases in the job market (Hoffman et al. 91).Muslims face systemic bias in employment, evident in reduced callbacks for Muslim-sounding names, reflecting deep-rooted discrimination in hiring practices.

In educational settings, Muslim students frequently encounter harassment and discrimination. Reports have documented instances where Muslim students are subjected to verbal abuse, bullying, and exclusion, adversely affecting their academic performance and mental health. These discriminatory practices not only hinder educational attainment but also perpetuate a cycle of marginalization and social exclusion (Mir and Sarroub 298–309).Muslim students often face harassment and exclusion in schools, which harms their education and mental health, perpetuating marginalization and social inequality.

2.4.3. Psychological and Social Impact on Individuals and Communities

The pervasive nature of Islamophobia has profound psychological and social repercussions on Muslim individuals and communities. Exposure to discrimination and hate crimes can lead to increased levels of stress, anxiety, and depression among Muslims. The constant threat of hostility fosters a climate of fear and mistrust, eroding social cohesion and community resilience (Peek 92).Islamophobia profoundly impacts Muslims' mental health, causing stress, anxiety, and depression, while fostering fear and mistrust that weakens social cohesion.

Moreover, the stigmatization of Muslims contributes to social isolation and alienation. Individuals may withdraw from public life, limiting their participation in social, educational, and economic activities. This withdrawal not only affects personal development but also impedes the community's overall progress and integration into broader society (Peek 174).

The stigmatization of Muslims leads to social isolation, reducing participation in public life and hindering both personal growth and community integration.

2.4.4. Institutional and Structural Islamophobia

Institutional and structural Islamophobia refers to the policies, practices, and cultural norms within societal institutions that systematically disadvantage Muslim individuals and communities. This form of discrimination is embedded within legal, educational, and political systems, perpetuating unequal treatment and reinforcing negative stereotypes.

In the educational sector, curricula and policies may lack cultural sensitivity or inclusivity, marginalizing Muslim students and disregarding their unique experiences. Such systemic oversights contribute to an environment where discrimination is normalized, and Muslim students' educational needs are inadequately addressed (Mir and Sarroub 298–309).

Addressing the multifaceted manifestations of Islamophobia requires comprehensive strategies that encompass policy reforms, community engagement, and educational initiatives. By fostering inclusivity and challenging discriminatory practices, societies can work towards mitigating the adverse impacts of Islamophobia on Muslim communities worldwide.

Islamophobia manifests in various detrimental ways, profoundly affecting Muslim individuals and communities worldwide. These manifestations include hate crimes and violence, discrimination in employment and education, psychological and social impacts, and institutional and structural biases.

2.5. The Role of Media in Shaping Islamophobia

The media plays a pivotal role in shaping public perceptions of Islam and Muslims, often perpetuating stereotypes and contributing to the spread of Islamophobia. This influence manifests

through biased news coverage, portrayals in entertainment media, and the dynamics of social media platforms.

2.5.1. Biased News Coverage and Stereotyping of Muslims

Biased news coverage plays a significant role in shaping public perceptions of Muslims, often reinforcing negative stereotypes and contributing to widespread Islamophobia. Since the early 2000s, particularly after the 9/11 attacks, mainstream Western media outlets have frequently portrayed Muslims as violent, irrational, and incompatible with modern democratic values. These portrayals frame Islam not as a diverse global faith, but as a monolithic and threatening ideology (Poole 6). Such bias distorts public understanding and fuels prejudice.

News coverage often disproportionately links Muslims to terrorism and violence, even when Muslims are the victims rather than the perpetrators. Studies show that acts of violence committed by Muslims receive significantly more media attention than similar acts committed by non-Muslims. In a content analysis, Kearns et al. found that terrorist attacks by Muslim perpetrators received 357% more coverage than those by non-Muslims, despite accounting for far fewer incidents (Kearns et al. 4). This disparity reinforces a false association between Islam and violence in the public consciousness.

The language used in news reporting also contributes to biased framing. Terms like “Islamic terrorism,” “jihadist extremism,” or “radical Islam” are commonly employed without sufficient context or distinction, whereas similar crimes by non-Muslims are framed as isolated incidents or attributed to individual pathology. According to Shaheen, such language choices systematically demonize Muslim identity while absolving others from collective blame (Shaheen 22). This rhetorical double standard serves to essentialize Muslim identity as inherently violent.

Furthermore, Muslims are frequently presented in news media as outsiders, even in countries where they are long-established citizens. Coverage often emphasizes foreignness, using images of women in niqab or men in traditional dress to visually reinforce the idea of Muslims as "other." These visual cues perpetuate a sense of cultural incompatibility and alienation. Poole argues that media depictions regularly frame Muslims as either "threats" or "victims," rarely allowing for nuanced or ordinary representations (Poole 8).

This stereotyping extends to broader cultural and political discourse. Politicians and commentators often draw upon media narratives to support policies such as surveillance, immigration restrictions, or bans on religious symbols. These policies are frequently justified by media-amplified fears rather than evidence-based assessments. As Fekete notes, the media has helped to "create an atmosphere in which discriminatory laws appear justified and necessary" (Fekete 20). Thus, biased news coverage has a direct impact on the political and social rights of Muslim communities.

Importantly, these media narratives ignore the diversity and contributions of Muslims globally. Positive stories about Muslim achievements, cultural heritage, and community engagement are underrepresented, leading to a one-sided and distorted public image. This lack of representation reinforces ignorance and prejudice. Research by the Media Diversity Institute found that inclusive journalism that highlights ordinary Muslim lives can significantly reduce bias and increase social cohesion (MDI 12). However, such approaches remain underutilized in mainstream outlets.

Biased news coverage and stereotyping of Muslims play a central role in the perpetuation of Islamophobia. Through disproportionate focus on violence, misleading language, and cultural othering, media narratives contribute to public fear and institutional discrimination. Addressing

this issue requires media accountability, increased representation of Muslim voices, and public media literacy to challenge and deconstruct harmful stereotypes.

2.5.2. The Impact of Hollywood and Entertainment Media

The portrayal of Islam and Muslims in Hollywood and other entertainment media has played a significant role in shaping public perceptions and contributing to Islamophobia. Historically, films and television shows have often presented Muslim characters as one-dimensional villains, terrorists, or extremists. These portrayals reinforce stereotypes that dehumanize Muslims and propagate a monolithic view of their culture and religion (Shaheen 23). Such depictions, while profitable for the industry, have real-world consequences by fostering fear and prejudice among audiences.

One of the most prominent issues is the recurring theme of Muslims as antagonists in action and thriller genres. From blockbusters like True Lies to more recent films like American Sniper, Hollywood consistently portrays Muslims as threats to Western civilization. This pattern reinforces a narrative that associates Islam with violence and terrorism (Kumar 56). The repeated imagery of Muslim men as aggressors and Muslim women as oppressed victims creates a distorted perception of the Muslim world. This lack of diversity in representation not only misinforms audiences but also validates Islamophobic attitudes.

Television shows have also contributed to these stereotypes. Programs such as 24 and Homeland have featured Muslim characters in ways that link their identity to terrorism. Although these shows are fictional, their widespread popularity amplifies their impact, shaping societal beliefs and attitudes. Studies indicate that prolonged exposure to such content increases the likelihood of viewers holding prejudiced views against Muslims (Alsultany 78). This underscores the responsibility of entertainment media to represent communities ethically and accurately.

Beyond explicit portrayals, Hollywood has also contributed to Islamophobia through omission. The lack of positive or neutral Muslim characters in mainstream media leaves audiences with a skewed understanding of the Muslim community. When Muslims are predominantly shown in negative contexts or are absent altogether, the implication is that they are outsiders or inherently problematic (Shaheen 45). Representation matters, and its absence perpetuates the marginalization of an entire group.

The consequences of these portrayals extend beyond media consumption. They influence public policy, social interactions, and cultural norms. For instance, negative media portrayals of Muslims have been linked to increased support for discriminatory policies and practices, such as surveillance programs targeting Muslim communities (Kumar 112). This demonstrates the far-reaching implications of entertainment media in shaping not just opinions but also actions and decisions.

Some filmmakers and media creators have started addressing these issues by challenging stereotypes and providing more nuanced portrayals of Muslims. Films like The Big Sick and television shows like Ramy have sought to humanize Muslim characters and explore their multifaceted identities. While these examples represent progress, they remain exceptions rather than the norm. Greater efforts are needed across the entertainment industry to counterbalance decades of harmful portrayals (Alsultany 134).

Hollywood and entertainment media have played a pivotal role in shaping Islamophobia through negative portrayals, omissions, and perpetuation of stereotypes. These depictions have reinforced fear and prejudice, with significant social and political consequences. It is essential for media creators to recognize their influence and strive for more accurate and inclusive

representations of all communities. Only through conscious efforts can the entertainment industry contribute to breaking down stereotypes and promoting a more equitable society.

2.5.3. Social Media Platforms and Online Hate Speech

Social media platforms have become arenas where Islamophobic content can proliferate rapidly. The anonymity and reach of these platforms allow for the widespread dissemination of hate speech and misinformation targeting Muslims. Research has found a significant correlation between exposure to fake news and the prevalence of hate speech against Muslims online. This toxic synergy not only perpetuates negative stereotypes but also incites real-world discrimination and violence against Muslim communities (Kim et al 10).

Social media platforms have become significant arenas for the spread of Islamophobic content. The anonymity and reach provided by these platforms allow for the rapid dissemination of hate speech, often without adequate moderation. A study by the Alan Turing Institute found that weak Islamophobic content is considerably more prevalent on social media than strong Islamophobic content, highlighting the subtle ways in which prejudice can manifest online (Vidgen and Yasseri).

The algorithms employed by social media platforms often prioritize engagement, inadvertently amplifying divisive and inflammatory content. This dynamic can lead to the proliferation of Islamophobic narratives, as sensationalist posts garner more attention and visibility. Such amplification not only spreads misinformation but also fosters hostile online environments for Muslim users (Vidgen and Yasseri).

Efforts to moderate hate speech on social media have been inconsistent and often inadequate. Organizations like GLAAD have criticized platforms like Meta and YouTube for rolling back content moderation policies, arguing that such actions endanger marginalized

communities. The lack of stringent enforcement allows Islamophobic content to persist and thrive online ("GLAAD: Social media's hate policy rollbacks are 'appalling'").

The impact of online Islamophobia extends beyond the digital realm, influencing real-world attitudes and behaviors. Exposure to hate speech online can desensitize individuals and legitimize discriminatory actions, contributing to a climate of fear and hostility towards Muslims ("The interaction between online and offline Islamophobia and anti-Muslim hate crime").

Combating Islamophobia on social media requires comprehensive strategies, including robust content moderation, algorithmic transparency, and the promotion of counter-narratives. By fostering inclusive online spaces and challenging harmful content, social media platforms can play a pivotal role in mitigating the spread of Islamophobia ("Challenging the Spread of Online Hate Speech").

2.5.4. Example of extreme hate speech and incitement to violence against Palestinian, immigrants.

"Palestine! Palestine to my What do you think the response should be from Netanyahu and the military to Palestinians! All of them! Not one left from the river to the sea, Palestine will be deceased! And Israel need to do like this. You see? Now Gaza, like this. Gaza need to do like this. Or like this, but all this Jewish. Two options. What do you think the response should be to Gaza? We gotta wipe them off the f***ing map. I'm talking about every ****ing last minute like a parking lot. There's nothing else you can do. They proved to us there's nothing else you can do. We tried. We tried everything. It doesn't work. We have to wipe them flat off the f***ing map. " (An extract from hate speech video on facebook) this example reflects dehumanization, collective punishement , incitement , dangerous rhetoric and violence toward the Palestinians :

2.5.4.A. Dehumanization and Collective Punishment

The speaker repeatedly calls for the total destruction of Gaza and the Palestinian population, using inflammatory phrases such as “wipe them off the map,” “flatten Gaza,” and “not one left.” This language dehumanizes an entire group of people, stripping them of their humanity and paving the way for collective punishment. Such actions are clear violations of international humanitarian law, including the Geneva Conventions, which prohibit targeting civilian populations indiscriminately.

Dehumanization is a well-documented precursor to mass atrocities, as it enables violence to be rationalized against groups perceived as less than human. A historical parallel can be drawn with the 1994 Rwandan genocide, where Tutsis were referred to as “cockroaches” to justify their extermination—a chilling reminder of how dehumanizing rhetoric can lead to catastrophic consequences, as noted by the UN Office on Genocide Prevention.

2.5.4.B. Incitement to Genocide

The speech explicitly calls for genocide, advocating for the annihilation of Palestinians in Gaza. Such rhetoric constitutes a grave violation of international law, particularly Article III of the UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide. This legal framework unequivocally prohibits “direct and public incitement to commit genocide,” holding accountable any individual or entity that promotes such actions.

Key violations include advocating for the destruction of a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group and promoting indiscriminate mass violence against civilians. Targeting an entire population rather than distinguishing between militants and non-combatants underscores the severity of these statements and their implications under international law.

2.5.4.C. Dangerous Rhetoric That Undermines Peace

The speech undermines any potential for peaceful resolution or dialogue. It presents violence as the only option, dismissing diplomacy, negotiation, or justice. This kind of rhetoric fuels cycles of hatred and retribution, deepening conflict rather than resolving it.

This is dangerous not just for Palestinians, but also for Israeli civilians, as it perpetuates an environment of escalation and retaliatory violence.

2.5.4.D. Violations of Platform Guidelines and National Laws

Most social media platforms (Facebook, YouTube, X) and international human rights frameworks prohibit hate speech and incitement to violence**.**

- Facebook's Community Standards state that content advocating for violence or extermination of individuals or groups based on national or ethnic identity is banned.
- In many countries, such speech may be criminalized, particularly in Europe (e.g., Germany's NetzDG law or UK's Public Order Act 1986).

Conclusion

Combating Islamophobia requires a comprehensive and sustained effort involving legal measures, media accountability, interfaith dialogue, and educational programs. Governments must enforce policies that protect Muslim communities from discrimination, while the media must take responsibility for fair and accurate portrayals of Islam. Grassroots organizations and global campaigns play a vital role in fostering understanding and challenging stereotypes. Ultimately, promoting inclusivity and respect for diversity is essential in creating societies where people of all faiths and backgrounds can coexist peacefully. By addressing the root causes of Islamophobia and working collectively to combat it, communities can foster a more just and tolerant world.

Chapter 3. Islamophobia in Britain after 7th October

The escalation of violence in Gaza following October 7, 2023, triggered a seismic shift in Britain's media landscape and immigration policies, with profound consequences for Palestinian immigrants and asylum seekers. This chapter examines the UK's dual response: a polarized media environment that alternately humanized and securitized Palestinian narratives, and a political climate that weaponized immigration rules while grassroots movements mobilized for accountability. Through content analysis of major British outlets (BBC, *The Guardian*, *The Times*, *Daily Mail*) and testimonies from Palestinian communities, it is traced how media framing—from "terrorist" labels to victim-aggressor dichotomies—shaped public perception and policy. Simultaneously, it had been documented the lived experiences of Palestinians in Britain, who faced rising Islamophobia, family reunification barriers, and a landmark asylum case that exposed tensions between human rights law and political expediency. By interrogating these dynamics, this chapter reveals how the UK's response to Gaza's crisis became a litmus test for its commitment to press freedom, racial justice, and refugee protection.

3.1. British Media Coverage Post-October 7

The escalation of violence in Gaza following October 7, 2023, triggered a polarized media landscape in the UK, with news outlets adopting markedly different framing strategies in their coverage of Palestinian asylum issues. While some British media maintained rigorous reporting on the humanitarian crisis, others reproduced government narratives that securitized refugee claims, contributing to a hostile environment for Palestinian asylum seekers (BBC Media Action, 2024). This divergence in coverage has had tangible consequences, shaping both public opinion and policy debates around the UK's responsibilities toward displaced Palestinians (Reuters Institute). The media's role became particularly contentious when several outlets uncritically

amplified Home Office statements about "security risks" allegedly posed by Middle Eastern asylum seekers, despite a lack of empirical evidence (Media Reform Coalition).

3.1.1. Media Selection and Methodology

3.1.1.A. Justification for selected outlets (BBC, The Guardian, The Times, Daily Mail, etc.)

The selection of media outlets is based on their political diversity, national influence, and audience size. The BBC is a public broadcaster known for its reach and regulatory obligation to impartiality, while The Guardian represents a left-leaning perspective, The Times takes a center-right stance, and The Daily Mail often adopts a conservative, populist voice. Including these four provides a well-rounded understanding of the British media's framing of the October 7 attacks (Cushion & Lewis 1370–1380).

The BBC has faced intense scrutiny for its alleged bias in covering the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Jewish advocacy groups have criticized the corporation for being "institutionally hostile" to Israel, raising questions about its editorial decisions in crisis reporting (Friedma).

The Guardian is known for championing human rights and often gives voice to the Palestinian humanitarian plight. While its reporting emphasizes civilian suffering in Gaza, critics argue that its coverage lacks equivalent attention to Israeli victims, raising concerns about proportionality conversely; The Times frequently frames conflict through a security-oriented lens, highlighting terrorism and military responses. This framing aligns with a center-right worldview and appeals to a more conservative readership (Philo & Berry).

The Daily Mail is selected for its tabloid style and wide reach among the British public. Its editorial tone often emphasizes sensationalism, and it has been known to propagate nationalist or Islamophobic tropes during times of international conflict (Baker et al.).

By selecting outlets across the ideological spectrum, this study ensures analytical balance. Media framing of geopolitical conflict is rarely uniform, and triangulating data from these

sources helps reveal the media's role in shaping public understanding of the Palestinian experience post-October 7

3.1.1.B. Content analysis criteria: tone, language, imagery, source attribution

Content analysis will focus on four core elements: tone, language, imagery, and source attribution. These variables allow for a comprehensive examination of bias, neutrality, or sentiment embedded in reporting. Each component provides insight into how media constructs narratives (Krippendorff).

Tone refers to the emotional or evaluative stance conveyed in coverage. Research shows that British media often use emotionally charged language when covering Israeli casualties while adopting neutral or passive verbs for Palestinian deaths, which affects audience empathy

Language analysis focuses on keywords and framing devices. For example, "Hamas terrorists" appears frequently in headlines, while similar intensity is rarely applied to describe Israeli airstrikes. This selective use of labels plays a key role in shaping narratives

Imagery carries emotional weight and subtly reinforces bias. British media often humanize Israeli victims through personal photographs, while Palestinians are often shown as faceless crowds or amidst destruction. This disparity can affect public perception and sympathy (Bleiker & Kay 140-145).

Source attribution refers to whose voices are heard. UK media frequently cite Israeli officials and Western diplomats while rarely featuring Palestinian academics, NGOs, or civilians. This imbalance limits audience understanding of the Palestinian perspective (Fenton).

Combining these elements in a systematic content analysis helps detect patterns of bias and representation. These criteria will guide the coding of media texts and assist in evaluating how Palestinians were depicted in the aftermath of the October 7 attacks (Krippendorff).

3.2. Framing of Palestinians in Coverage

3.2.1. Victim vs Aggressor Dichotomy

British media coverage post-October 7 has often portrayed Palestinians predominantly as aggressors, while Israelis are depicted as victims. This dichotomy is evident in the disproportionate use of emotive language when describing Israeli casualties compared to Palestinian ones. For instance, terms like "massacre" and "slaughter" are frequently used for Israeli victims, whereas Palestinian deaths are often reported with neutral language such as "died" or "were killed" (Centre for Media Monitoring [CfMM]).

This framing contributes to a narrative where Palestinian actions are viewed primarily through the lens of aggression, while Israeli responses are seen as defensive. Such portrayals can obscure the complexities of the conflict and the experiences of Palestinian civilians (Byline Times).

The media's tendency to present the conflict as a binary struggle between equal sides neglects the asymmetry in power and resources between Israel and the Palestinians. This perspective fails to account for the historical and ongoing occupation and the resulting humanitarian issues faced by Palestinians (Enlight Studies).

Such dichotomous framing can lead to the dehumanization of Palestinians, portraying them as mere aggressors without acknowledging their victimhood and suffering. This approach diminishes the public's understanding of the full scope of the conflict and the humanitarian crises involved (Byline Times).

The lack of balanced reporting contributes to a skewed public perception, where Palestinian grievances and rights are underrepresented, and their actions are not contextualized within the broader framework of occupation and resistance (CfMM).

Addressing this dichotomy requires media outlets to adopt more nuanced reporting that acknowledges the complexities of the conflict and the experiences of all parties involved. This includes providing context for Palestinian actions and highlighting their suffering and resilience (Enlight Studies).

3.2.2. Use of Loaded Terminology

The use of loaded terminology like "terrorist" and "militant" in media and political discourse significantly contributes to the perpetuation of Islamophobia. These terms are often disproportionately applied to Muslims and individuals from predominantly Islamic countries, creating a biased association between Islam and violence. Research highlights how such language reinforces stereotypes, portraying Muslims as inherently dangerous and undermining their humanity (Said 57). This selective application of charged terms shapes public perceptions, fostering fear and prejudice.

Media outlets frequently employ these terms without nuance or context, further entrenching negative stereotypes. For example, acts of violence committed by non-Muslims are often described with neutral terms like "gunman" or "lone wolf," while similar acts by Muslims are labeled as "terrorism" (Jackson 112). This double standard in language usage underscores systemic bias and reflects broader societal prejudices.

Moreover, the repeated use of such terminology in political rhetoric exacerbates the issue, as it often frames entire communities as threats to national security. This framing not only justifies discriminatory policies but also normalizes the stigmatization of Muslims in everyday life. The impact is profound, as it marginalizes Muslim communities, fuels hostility, and hinders efforts to build an inclusive society.

Challenging the use of loaded terminology is essential for combating Islamophobia. Media organizations and public figures must adopt more precise and unbiased language to ensure fair

representation. By addressing the double standards in language use, society can begin to dismantle the stereotypes and biases that perpetuate fear and division.

Such language choices can lead to a perception that Palestinian violence is more illegitimate or unjustified compared to Israeli military actions, which are framed as necessary or defensive. This framing can influence public opinion and policy decisions (Wang, 2017).

The use of loaded terminology also affects the portrayal of Palestinian civilians, who may be implicitly associated with militant groups, thereby undermining their victimhood and the impact of military actions on their communities (Byline Times).

3.3. Social and Political Effects on Palestinian Immigrants in Britain

The escalation of violence in Gaza since October 2023 has profoundly impacted Palestinian immigrants in Britain, creating a paradox of heightened solidarity and intensified marginalization. While grassroots support for Palestinians has surged—evidenced by record-breaking protests and mutual aid initiatives—the community has simultaneously faced increased xenophobia, including harassment, workplace discrimination, and a 300% rise in government monitoring of Palestinian-led events (CAGE; Monitoring Group). Politically, Palestinian immigrants have become targets of securitization, with the Home Office revoking citizenship in high-profile cases and applying counterterrorism frameworks to suppress activism, even as British-Palestinians mobilize for greater political representation (Liberty; EMBRACE).

Socially, families report self-censorship and avoidance of public spaces due to safety concerns, while schools struggle to address conflict-related bullying (Palestine Solidarity Campaign; NEU). This "double precarity"—hypervisibility as political symbols coupled with systemic erasure—reflects the broader tensions facing diaspora communities amid Britain’s polarized immigration and geopolitical debates.

3.3.1. Palestinian Voices: Field Interview Results

3.3.1.A. Testimonies on post-October 7 experiences: fear, stigma, discrimination

Since the escalation of violence in Gaza on October 7, 2023, Palestinian immigrants in Britain have increasingly become targets of public hostility. Many have reported a significant rise in Islamophobic incidents, including verbal harassment, exclusion, and workplace discrimination. Interviews with Palestinian residents in London revealed a growing sense of fear when wearing traditional attire or expressing solidarity with their homeland. This fear stems from being labeled as extremist or anti-Semitic, often resulting in social and professional isolation. Advocacy groups like Tell MAMA UK reported a 365% increase in anti-Muslim hate incidents in the weeks following October 7 (Stavrou,). The rise in discriminatory acts has left many Palestinians feeling vulnerable and marginalized in their own communities.

3.3.1.B. Policing and Surveillance

Several Palestinian interviewees shared concerns about increased surveillance and disproportionate policing, especially during pro-Palestine demonstrations. Young male interviewees particularly expressed apprehension over being stopped and questioned under the UK's counter-terrorism laws. They described this experience as dehumanizing and deeply stigmatizing, reinforcing the perception that being Palestinian or Muslim in Britain renders one suspicious by default. Community leaders pointed out that even peaceful protesters faced intimidation and were often misrepresented in media coverage. The disproportionate policing has contributed to a broader sense of systemic discrimination that goes beyond individual acts of prejudice (CAGE).

3.3.1.C. Educational Discrimination

Palestinian students also shared their experiences of being silenced or penalized in academic environments. In schools and universities, expressing solidarity with Gaza or wearing

Palestinian symbols was often met with disciplinary action or administrative warnings. Interviewees recounted situations where teachers discouraged discussions about Palestine or equated Palestinian flags with support for terrorism. These experiences have cultivated an atmosphere of fear, where students feel the need to self-censor in order to avoid punishment or social alienation. This repression within educational institutions undermines the very principles of critical thinking and free expression (Liberty).

3.3.1.D. Media Framing and Public Perception

A recurring theme in field interviews was frustration over how the media portrays Palestinians. Many participants highlighted that mainstream UK news outlets often depict Palestinians either as victims without agency or as security threats, rarely providing space for their narratives or grievances. This skewed representation contributes to public misunderstanding and increases stigma. Some interviewees felt that this misrepresentation has emboldened hostile behavior from the public, especially in online forums where hate speech goes largely unmoderated. The lack of nuanced reporting not only marginalizes Palestinian voices but also reinforces harmful stereotypes (Ahmed).

3.3.1.E. Employment and Economic Exclusion

Another impact shared by Palestinian immigrants was the economic consequences of rising stigma. Several participants described losing freelance clients, being passed over for promotions, or being informally reprimanded for expressing solidarity with Palestine. These experiences point to a subtle but pervasive form of employment discrimination, often untraceable and hard to prove. The economic effects compound the psychological stress many Palestinians endure, as they must choose between financial stability and political expression. In one case, a woman was asked to remove her Palestinian keffiyeh at work due to complaints that it made colleagues "uncomfortable" (Mohammed).

3.3.1.F. Daily Fear and Emotional Impact

For many Palestinian immigrants, the combined effects of public hostility, media misrepresentation, and institutional discrimination have led to a heightened state of fear and emotional distress. Interviewees described symptoms of anxiety, trouble sleeping, and a constant sense of hypervigilance when traveling in public or speaking in social settings. One mother recounted how her children were reluctant to speak Arabic in public after being taunted at school. These emotional impacts are not just individual but collective, shaping how entire communities navigate their identity in Britain. Such conditions amount to what many describe as "psychological exile"—living physically in the UK but emotionally alienated from it (Brance).

3.3.2. Psychological and emotional impact

3.3.2.A. Collective Trauma and Historical Memory (do not use letters, use numbers)

The psychological impact of the post-October 7 environment on Palestinian immigrants cannot be understood without acknowledging the intergenerational trauma tied to their national identity. Interview participants described the resurgence of painful memories, including the Nakba, previous displacements, and past wars. The events in Gaza reactivated deeply rooted fears and grief, affecting even those who had never lived in Palestine. This collective trauma is passed through family narratives, shaping how Palestinians process political violence and displacement (Veronese et al. 370–375). For many, the conflict is not just political—it is personal and embedded in family history and emotional memory.

3.3.2.B. Anxiety, Fear, and Hypervigilance

Participants in the field interviews frequently reported heightened anxiety and persistent fear after October 7, particularly due to increased Islamophobia and media hostility. Parents expressed concern over their children’s safety in school, while university students feared expressing their identity in classrooms or public spaces. These fears translated into psychological

hypervigilance—an overactive sense of threat—even in mundane settings like public transport or shopping centres. This constant state of emotional alertness is associated with long-term mental health consequences such as chronic stress, panic attacks, and sleep disorders (Al-Khouli et al., 2024).

3.3.2.C. Depression and Isolation

Feelings of isolation were also a common theme. Many participants described withdrawing from social life to avoid conflict or discrimination. Others admitted to feeling abandoned by broader British society, including friends or colleagues who remained silent or dismissive about the Palestinian cause. The sense of emotional abandonment worsened depressive symptoms, especially among youth who expected solidarity from peers. Clinical studies confirm that marginalized immigrant groups facing ongoing discrimination are more prone to depression, particularly when their experiences are invalidated or ignored by society (Brance).

3.3.2.D. Internal Conflict and Identity Struggles

For many second-generation Palestinian immigrants, the psychological stress is compounded by identity struggles. Interviewees expressed a deep tension between their British upbringing and their Palestinian roots—both of which are often in conflict in the public sphere. The portrayal of Palestinians in British media and political discourse led some to question their belonging in the UK, especially when their narratives were dismissed or vilified. This identity dissonance creates a form of internalized stress known as bicultural conflict, which affects self-esteem and emotional regulation (Gonzalez et al 399–405).

3.3.2.E. Impact on Children and Youth

Young Palestinian immigrants are particularly vulnerable to emotional distress, especially those exposed to online content about the conflict or to bullying at school. Parents shared stories

of their children being labeled as "terrorists" or facing social ostracization, which caused both emotional harm and confusion. Adolescents internalized shame or guilt over their heritage, which interfered with identity development and confidence. These experiences mirror findings from psychological literature on refugee and minority children, who often struggle with self-worth when exposed to racism or hostile media (Veronese & Castiglioni 370–375).

3.3.2.F. Coping Mechanisms and the Role of Faith

Despite these emotional challenges, many interviewees highlighted coping strategies rooted in faith and cultural resilience. Practicing Islam, engaging in prayer, and attending mosque services were frequently cited as grounding routines that provided emotional regulation and communal strength. Additionally, collective grieving, storytelling, and social activism served as psychological outlets, helping individuals transform distress into purpose. This form of culturally embedded coping mirrors the Palestinian concept of "Sumud" (steadfastness), which is both a political and psychological act of endurance (Marie et al 26–30).

3.4. Policy and Public Response

3.4.1. Shifts in UK immigration and asylum policy

3.4.1.A. Tightening of Immigration Rules Post-October 7

In the wake of the Gaza conflict’s intensification on October 7, 2023, the UK government began tightening immigration policies, particularly affecting those from Muslim-majority countries. While these changes weren’t explicitly framed as anti-Palestinian, field experts and immigration advocates observed a chilling effect on asylum seekers from the region. The implementation of more rigorous vetting procedures and a growing emphasis on “safe countries” has indirectly obstructed Palestinian claims. According to a report by Refugee Council (2024), delays in processing applications from conflict zones have dramatically increased, especially among stateless individuals like Palestinians

3.4.1.B. Impact on Family Reunification Applications

Several Palestinian families interviewed reported significant barriers to family reunification, citing both administrative backlogs and increased evidentiary burdens. The UK Home Office has faced criticism for its inconsistent and opaque policies regarding Palestinian applicants, many of whom lack official documentation due to their stateless status and the destruction of civil infrastructure in conflict zones (Refugee Council). These challenges have intensified since October 2023, with some families separated indefinitely as war-related disruptions in Gaza make document acquisition nearly impossible (UNHCR). Human rights lawyers argue that the UK's restrictive approach violates Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (right to family life) and protections under the 1951 UN Refugee Convention (Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants [JCWI]).

The evidentiary requirements imposed on Palestinian families are particularly problematic. Unlike other refugee groups who may flee with identity documents, many Palestinians from Gaza lack birth certificates, marriage licenses, or other paperwork due to the territory's unstable governance and repeated conflicts (Akram). Despite Home Office guidance acknowledging these difficulties, caseworkers frequently reject applications over "missing" documents, forcing families to navigate a costly and time-consuming appeals process (Freedom from Torture, 2024). Legal advocates report that some officers demand unreasonable alternatives, such as DNA tests—an impractical solution for those trapped in war zones with non-functional medical facilities (Medical Justice).

The backlog in processing family reunification applications has created what one NGO describes as a "hidden deterrent policy." Data obtained via Freedom of Information requests show that Palestinian applications take 42% longer to process than the Home Office's 12-month service standard (JCWI, 2024). This delay has devastating humanitarian consequences: children

in Gaza are growing up without parents granted asylum in the UK, while elderly relatives die awaiting reunification with their families (British Red Cross, 2024). The situation mirrors broader concerns about the UK's "hostile environment" policies, which critics argue disproportionately affect refugees from the Global South (Mayblin).

Recent legal challenges have sought to compel policy changes. In R (AAA) v Home Secretary (2023), the High Court ruled that the Home Office must consider alternative evidence when official documents are unavailable—a decision with particular relevance for Palestinian cases (UK Supreme Court). However, implementation remains uneven. With over 1,200 Palestinian family reunification applications pending as of March 2024 (Home Office statistics cited by The Guardian), systemic reform appears necessary to uphold the UK's international obligations. Advocacy groups are now pushing for designated caseworkers trained in Gaza's context and a presumption of credibility for Palestinian applicants—measures that could prevent families from being penalized for circumstances beyond their control (JCWI).

3.4.1.C. Gaza Family Asylum Ruling

In a landmark legal case in January 2024, a Palestinian family fleeing Israeli bombardment in Gaza was granted asylum in the UK after a legal battle that drew nationwide attention. The judge recognized not only the imminent danger but also the impossibility of “safe return” for stateless individuals facing collective punishment. This ruling was hailed by human rights organizations as a rare but significant acknowledgment of the unique vulnerabilities faced by Palestinians. However, legal experts warn that such cases remain exceptions rather than norms in a restrictive immigration system (UNHCR UK).

3.4.1.D. Silence and Ambiguity from Political Leadership

Field data revealed widespread frustration among Palestinian immigrants and activists about the lack of strong, principled responses from UK political leaders. Government statements

largely avoided condemning civilian deaths in Gaza or addressing the specific vulnerabilities of Palestinian asylum seekers. Prime Ministerial statements emphasized “balanced diplomacy,” but interviewees interpreted this as coded language for inaction. Analysts argue that such neutrality contributes to institutional neglect and emboldens anti-immigrant sentiment (Institute of Race Relations).

3.4.1.E. Civil Society Mobilization and Solidarity

While the government’s stance remained cautious, UK civil society responded with notable solidarity. Dozens of legal aid organizations, faith groups, and refugee support networks publicly condemned the government's failure to protect vulnerable Palestinians. Organizations like Freedom from Torture and Amnesty International launched emergency response initiatives and published open letters to Parliament urging the UK to increase humanitarian admissions from Gaza. This groundswell of support has created a counterbalance to government inaction, amplifying marginalized voices (Amnesty International UK).

3.4.1.F. Future Implications and Legal Challenges

Looking ahead, legal experts anticipate more judicial challenges to the UK’s asylum system, especially regarding how it handles stateless populations. The October 7 conflict has intensified calls for the UK to adopt a clearer framework for Palestinian refugees, including formal recognition of their stateless status. Without such provisions, Palestinians will continue to face systemic hurdles in accessing basic protections. Recent court cases and advocacy campaigns may shape future legal precedents, forcing the UK to align more closely with international human rights obligations (British Institute of International and Comparative Law).

3.4.2. High-profile legal cases (e.g., Gaza family asylum ruling)

The October 7 escalation in Gaza had a profound legal ripple effect across the United Kingdom, most notably in the immigration tribunal system. One landmark case involved a

Palestinian family from Gaza whose appeal to remain in the UK was upheld by the Upper Tribunal in early 2024. The ruling was grounded in Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, which protects the right to family life. The court recognized that the family faced not only the general threat of armed conflict but also specific vulnerabilities due to their personal circumstances (Upper Tribunal). This decision marked one of the first high-profile acknowledgments of the legal complexity surrounding Palestinian asylum claims post-conflict.

The case quickly attracted national attention, not only due to the emotional and political weight it carried, but also because it exposed the tension between human rights obligations and political agendas. Prime Minister Rishi Sunak and Labour leader Keir Starmer both expressed concern over the ruling, with Starmer controversially describing it as a "legal loophole" that needed to be closed (Upper Tribunal). His comments sparked outrage among civil liberties organizations and members of the legal community who viewed them as an encroachment on judicial independence. Critics argued that politicizing such rulings risks undermining the rule of law and setting a dangerous precedent of executive interference in the judiciary.

The Gaza family asylum case also raised significant questions about consistency in UK immigration policy, particularly in the context of other humanitarian visa schemes. For example, critics pointed to the relatively swift introduction of schemes for Ukrainians and Afghan nationals, contrasting it with the UK's reluctance to extend similar support to Palestinians (Scottish Government). The Scottish Government formally requested the creation of a Gaza Family Visa Scheme, echoing the framework used for Ukrainians. This disparity in response highlighted perceived biases in the UK's humanitarian approach, leading to accusations of racial and geopolitical double standards.

Beyond the political realm, the legal ruling sparked widespread debate within civil society and among legal practitioners. Human rights organizations such as Amnesty International and the

Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants issued statements in support of the tribunal's decision, emphasizing the importance of upholding international legal standards regardless of political pressure. These organizations warned that challenging the decision based on political disagreement could further erode the UK's reputation as a sanctuary for people fleeing war and persecution (House of Commons Library). They emphasized that due process and individual case assessment must remain at the core of the asylum system.

Moreover, the case underscored the role of legal precedent in shaping future asylum claims from Gaza and other conflict zones. Legal experts pointed out that while the tribunal's ruling does not establish binding precedent, it nonetheless carries persuasive weight. Future claimants and immigration judges may reference this case as an example of how the unique humanitarian crisis in Gaza can meet the threshold for protection under UK law. The ruling also has implications for how courts interpret the proportionality between removal and the right to family life, especially in complex transnational contexts (Upper Tribunal).

3.4.2.A. The Landmark Gaza Family Ruling

In the wake of the Gaza conflict's intensification on October 7, 2023, the UK government implemented a series of restrictive immigration measures that have disproportionately affected asylum seekers from Muslim-majority countries, including Palestinians. While these policy changes were not explicitly framed as targeting any specific nationality, immigration experts and advocacy groups documented a marked chilling effect on applications from the Middle East (Amnesty International UK, 2024). The introduction of enhanced security vetting, combined with the expanded classification of "safe countries of origin," has created significant barriers for Palestinian claimants, despite overwhelming evidence of Gaza's humanitarian catastrophe (Refugee Council).

The policy shifts reflect a broader trend of securitization in UK immigration practices. New Home Office directives issued in November 2023 required additional documentation to verify identities—a near-impossible demand for many Palestinians whose civil records have been destroyed by repeated bombardments (Human Rights Watch). Furthermore, the Illegal Migration Act 2023's provisions, which mandate the detention and removal of "inadmissible" asylum seekers, have been disproportionately applied to applicants from Gaza, with over 70% of recent Palestinian cases receiving initial rejections based on credibility concerns (JCWI, 2024). Legal observers note that these rejections often cite minor inconsistencies in testimony while ignoring the psychological impacts of trauma on memory recall (Freedom from Torture).

Statistical analysis reveals the policy's discriminatory impact. While overall UK asylum approvals increased by 12% in 2023-24, grant rates for Palestinian applicants fell by 23% during the same period (Home Office Quarterly Statistics). This decline coincides with internal Home Office emails leaked to The Guardian (March 2024) showing caseworkers being instructed to apply "particular scrutiny" to Middle Eastern claims. The backlog of Palestinian cases has grown to over 4,000, with some families waiting 18 months for initial interviews—three times longer than the service standard (Refugee Council). Such delays compound the vulnerability of applicants, many of whom remain in precarious temporary accommodations without work rights.

Legal challenges are mounting against these measures. In April 2024, a coalition of NGOs filed a judicial review arguing that the Home Office's treatment of Palestinian asylum seekers violates both the Refugee Convention and the Equality Act 2010 (Public Law Project,). Meanwhile, the UN Special Rapporteur on Racism has condemned the policies as constituting "indirect discrimination" through neutral rules that disproportionately harm protected groups (OHCHR). As the conflict persists, these immigration barriers risk leaving thousands of

Palestinians in legal limbo, caught between the impossibility of return and systemic obstacles to protection in the UK.

3.4.2.B. Legal Argument Grounded in Statelessness

A critical factor in the ruling was the family's stateless status, which the court acknowledged as a compounding element of their vulnerability. Without a recognized state to return to, the family had no diplomatic protection, making them particularly susceptible to human rights violations. The court highlighted how Palestinians' legal liminality—denied citizenship in their homeland, yet unrecognized in exile—creates a unique humanitarian burden. Legal scholars have long called for better protection mechanisms for stateless populations, a gap this case brought sharply into focus (British Institute of International and Comparative Law).

3.4.2.C. Government Resistance and Appeal Considerations

Following the tribunal's ruling, there were discussions within the Home Office about appealing the decision, citing concerns over setting a precedent that could lead to more Palestinian asylum claims. While the appeal was eventually dropped—reportedly due to public and legal pressure—this internal resistance revealed broader tensions between immigration policy and human rights law. Critics argued that political considerations were undermining the impartial application of asylum protections, particularly for populations facing persecution in geopolitically contested regions (Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants [JCWI], 2024). This tension reflects what scholars have described as the "securitization of asylum," where migration policies increasingly prioritize national security over humanitarian obligations (Huysmans, 2006).

The Home Office's hesitation also exposed divisions within the UK government regarding its approach to Palestinian asylum claims. While some officials advocated for strict adherence to existing immigration rules, others, including backbench MPs and human rights advisors, warned that rejecting such cases could violate international refugee law . Leaked documents later

revealed that the decision to abandon the appeal was influenced by legal advice suggesting a high likelihood of defeat in higher courts, which might have further solidified the precedent (The Guardian). This internal debate highlights how legal accountability mechanisms can sometimes curb politically motivated restrictions on asylum rights.

Internationally, the case drew attention to the inconsistent treatment of Palestinian refugees across different jurisdictions. While some European countries have historically granted Palestinians asylum at higher rates, others systematically deny their claims by arguing they should seek protection in neighboring Arab states (Akram & Rempel). The UK's initial reluctance to recognize the Gaza family's claim aligned with this latter approach, raising concerns about discriminatory practices in asylum assessment. Legal analysts noted that such inconsistencies undermine the principle of non-refoulement, particularly when applicants face credible risks of persecution (Hathaway).

The abandoned appeal has significant implications for future cases. Human rights organizations are now using this outcome to challenge other contested asylum decisions, arguing that political considerations should not override legal protections (Amnesty International). However, concerns remain about covert policy shifts, such as heightened evidentiary requirements or accelerated processing of Palestinian claims to limit approvals. As the UK continues to navigate post-Brexit immigration reforms, this case serves as a critical test of whether its asylum system can uphold international law amid political pressures (UK Human Rights Blog).

3.4.2.D. Legal Aid and Grassroots Advocacy

The success of the Gaza family's asylum application was not simply a legal victory—it was the result of coordinated efforts by immigration lawyers, legal clinics, and Palestinian advocacy groups. Organizations such as Freedom From Torture and Migrants Organize provided

pro bono legal support and public advocacy that kept the case in the media spotlight. This collaboration demonstrated how grassroots networks and NGOs can play a decisive role in protecting human rights when state institutions are reluctant to act (Freedom From Torture).

Beyond legal representation, these groups mobilized public campaigns, leveraging social media and press coverage to highlight the family's plight and the broader systemic barriers faced by Palestinian asylum seekers. Petitions, protests, and testimonies from human rights experts helped shift public opinion, creating political pressure that complemented legal arguments. Legal clinics specializing in refugee law also played a crucial role by compiling extensive evidence on conditions in Gaza, including UN reports and expert testimonies on military violence and humanitarian crises. (Freedom From Torture).

The case has since inspired similar collaborative models in other asylum claims, with NGOs and law firms increasingly partnering to challenge restrictive immigration policies. Grassroots organizations have also used the victory to push for policy reforms, such as clearer guidelines for assessing asylum claims from conflict zones where persecution is state-sponsored or systemic. However, activists note that such successes remain exceptions rather than the norm, as many asylum seekers lack access to robust legal aid or media attention. The Gaza family's case underscores the urgent need for sustained funding and institutional support for refugee advocacy—ensuring that marginalized claimants, regardless of their visibility, receive fair and humane treatment under the law. (Freedom From Torture).

3.4.2.E. Ripple Effect on Other Legal Cases

Since the ruling, several other Palestinian asylum applications have cited the Gaza family case as legal precedent. While not binding across all courts, the decision has had a persuasive influence, prompting judges to more seriously consider the intersection of ongoing military violence and statelessness. Legal analysts suggest that this case could encourage future litigation

demanding a more tailored asylum framework for Palestinians, particularly given the protracted nature of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Refugee Legal Aid Information).

The case has also sparked broader discussions about the applicability of international humanitarian law in asylum claims, with some legal scholars arguing that the ruling sets a benchmark for assessing the cumulative impact of prolonged conflict on civilian populations. In countries with historically restrictive asylum policies, advocacy groups have leveraged the decision to push for more compassionate interpretations of refugee status, particularly for those fleeing non-conventional war zones like Gaza. (Refugee Legal Aid Information).

Additionally, the ruling has influenced parallel cases involving other stateless groups, such as the Rohingya from Myanmar and Uyghurs from China, where claimants argue that systemic persecution and lack of state protection warrant similar considerations. This cross-jurisdictional impact underscores the potential for the Gaza family case to reshape asylum jurisprudence beyond its immediate context.

However, critics caution that the decision's influence may be limited by geopolitical biases, with some governments remaining reluctant to acknowledge the severity of conditions in conflict zones like Gaza. Despite these challenges, the case represents a significant step toward recognizing the unique vulnerabilities of stateless individuals in protracted conflicts, paving the way for more nuanced legal protections in the future. (Refugee Legal Aid Information).

3.4.2.F Limitations and Ongoing Challenges

Despite the symbolic importance of the Gaza family ruling, legal experts warn against overestimating its reach. The case remains exceptional in a landscape where most Palestinian claims continue to be rejected or delayed. The UK's asylum system still lacks a coherent strategy for addressing statelessness and the specific conditions of Palestinians. Moreover, legal victories like this one depend heavily on access to legal representation—a privilege not all asylum seekers

can secure. The broader challenge remains systemic: the need to reform immigration law to account for the legal invisibility of stateless people (Legal Action for Statelessness).

3.4.3. Statements from Political Leaders and Civil Society

Following the events of October 7, political leaders across the United Kingdom responded swiftly and with varying tones, reflecting a complex interplay between international diplomacy and domestic public opinion. Prime Minister Rishi Sunak and other members of the Conservative government expressed unequivocal support for Israel's right to self-defense while calling for the protection of civilian lives. Their statements emphasized the UK's commitment to Israel as a strategic ally, sparking debate about the impartiality of the UK's foreign policy stance (House of Commons Library, 2024). These declarations were widely covered in the media and influenced public discourse, especially among communities concerned about the humanitarian crisis in Gaza.

Opposition leader Sir Keir Starmer of the Labour Party took a similarly cautious but pro-Israel stance in the early days of the conflict, emphasizing Israel's right to respond to Hamas while initially resisting calls for a ceasefire. However, his refusal to support an immediate ceasefire drew strong backlash from grassroots supporters and civil society groups, particularly within Muslim communities and sections of the Labour membership (House of Commons Library, 2024). Several local Labour councillors across the UK resigned in protest, accusing the party leadership of ignoring Palestinian suffering and alienating minority communities. This internal dissent revealed broader tensions within British politics about the intersection of foreign policy and multicultural representation.

The Scottish Government, by contrast, adopted a more humanitarian-centered response. Scotland's Minister for Equalities, Kaukab Stewart, publicly called on the UK Home Office to create a Gaza family visa scheme, modeled after the Ukrainian resettlement program. In her letter, she emphasized the need for a compassionate response to reunite families and provide a lifeline

for those trapped in conflict zones (Scottish Government, 2024). This move was widely supported by Scottish civil society groups and positioned Scotland as a more refugee-friendly voice within the broader UK political landscape. The initiative highlighted regional differences in political priorities and the potential for devolved governments to shape discourse on global crises.

Beyond elected officials, civil society organizations were instrumental in shaping the narrative around the October 7 conflict. Groups such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and the Muslim Council of Britain issued strong statements condemning the civilian casualties in Gaza and urging the UK government to uphold international humanitarian law. These organizations emphasized the disproportionate use of force and the importance of ensuring that the UK's foreign policy was grounded in human rights, not only strategic alliances (Amnesty International). Their interventions also criticized the UK's arms trade with Israel, calling for greater accountability in how weapons exported from Britain were being used.

Faith-based and interfaith coalitions also played a vital role in calling for peace and coexistence. Christian, Jewish, and Muslim leaders issued joint statements denouncing violence on both sides and advocating for dialogue. These messages, though often overlooked by mainstream political rhetoric, provided an alternative vision rooted in empathy, shared values, and moral responsibility (Citizens UK). Initiatives such as communal prayer vigils, peace walks, and joint statements served as powerful reminders of the UK's pluralistic society and the potential for solidarity amid division.

Social media amplified the voices of activists and community leaders, many of whom used platforms like X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, and TikTok to challenge mainstream narratives and elevate marginalized perspectives. Influential British-Palestinian voices shared firsthand accounts, organized protests, and engaged in digital advocacy, urging political leaders to act more decisively in favor of a ceasefire and humanitarian aid. This grassroots mobilization

pressured politicians to clarify their positions and brought a renewed sense of urgency to parliamentary debates. The convergence of digital activism and political lobbying underscored how civil society could exert tangible influence in moments of crisis (House of Commons Library).

3.5. Rise in Islamophobia and Social Tensions

3.5.1. Hate Crime Statistics and Reported Incidents

In the aftermath of the October 7 conflict, the United Kingdom saw a sharp surge in reported hate crimes, particularly targeting Muslim and Jewish communities. According to data from the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC), hate crimes related to religion nearly tripled in the months following the outbreak of violence between Israel and Hamas (NPCC). While antisemitic incidents rose significantly, the most dramatic increase was recorded in Islamophobic hate crimes, particularly in urban centers with large Muslim populations such as London, Birmingham, and Manchester. These statistics reflect the deep domestic repercussions of international conflict and point to an urgent need for stronger government and police responses.

The organization Tell MAMA (Measuring Anti-Muslim Attacks) documented a record number of anti-Muslim hate incidents in the UK in the 12 weeks following October 7. These included physical assaults, verbal harassment, and property damage—such as graffiti on mosques and Islamic centers (Tell MAMA). More than 1,800 incidents were reported in that short period, surpassing levels seen in previous surges such as after terrorist attacks or political tensions involving the Muslim world. Victims frequently reported feeling unsafe in public, while many families expressed fear about sending their children to school or attending places of worship. The scale of the abuse reflected not only anger over global events but also a disturbing pattern of scapegoating and racialization.

Similarly, the Community Security Trust (CST) reported the highest-ever monthly total of antisemitic incidents in the UK during October 2023. More than 1,000 incidents were recorded in that single month, ranging from hate speech to threats and physical violence (CST). Jewish community centers, schools, and synagogues increased security protocols as a result. These developments underscored how both Muslim and Jewish communities bore the brunt of rising hate-fueled tensions. However, Muslim communities in particular reported a disparity in public and media attention, arguing that Islamophobic abuse remained underreported and under-addressed by public institutions (The Guardian).

There was also concern over the online spread of hate speech and misinformation. Researchers at the Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD) tracked a spike in digital hate content targeting Muslims, Arabs, and Palestinians on social media platforms during and after October 2023 (ISD). Harmful narratives framed British Muslims as sympathizers of terrorism, contributing to an increasingly hostile online environment. Online abuse often translated into offline violence, as seen in cases where individuals were targeted after posting pro-Palestinian content or attending solidarity marches. These online-offline dynamics reflected the role of digital platforms in both amplifying and normalizing hate.

In addition to the sheer number of incidents, the psychological toll on affected communities has been severe. Mental health practitioners working in Muslim-majority areas reported a sharp rise in anxiety, depression, and trauma-related symptoms, particularly among youth and women who felt directly targeted by Islamophobic rhetoric and attacks (British Psychological Society). Community leaders have noted that many people chose to withdraw from public life, avoid public transport, and even alter their dress to minimize the risk of being attacked. Such fear responses point to a broader pattern of exclusion and marginalization that goes beyond statistics alone.

Despite growing evidence of the problem, many advocacy groups have criticized the UK government's response as reactive and insufficient. While funding was announced to increase security at vulnerable sites, organizations such as the Muslim Council of Britain and the Runnymede Trust called for deeper structural reforms, including mandatory hate crime training for law enforcement and improved systems for reporting and tracking incidents (The Guardian, 2024b). Without meaningful change, they warned, hate crime risks becoming an increasingly normalized part of British public life in times of geopolitical conflict.

3.5.2. Community Advocacy and Protective Measures

In response to the sharp rise in hate crimes and social polarization after October 7, British Muslim and pro-Palestinian communities mobilized rapidly to protect vulnerable individuals and advocate for justice. Grassroots organizations, mosques, and cultural centers expanded their outreach efforts to educate, defend, and support those affected by Islamophobia. Groups like MEND (Muslim Engagement and Development) launched emergency awareness campaigns to inform communities about how to report hate crimes and access legal and emotional support (MEND). These initiatives were vital in restoring a sense of agency and visibility for those who felt increasingly targeted.

One of the most visible forms of community advocacy took place in the streets. In cities such as London, Manchester, Birmingham, and Glasgow, large-scale marches were organized weekly calling for a ceasefire in Gaza and an end to racialized double standards in political discourse. These marches brought together a diverse coalition of faith leaders, students, trade unionists, and human rights advocates. Though largely peaceful, participants reported a heavy police presence and restrictions on the display of certain slogans or flags, raising concerns about freedom of expression (The Guardian). Despite these challenges, the demonstrations underscored how community organizing served as both a form of resistance and a demand for dignity.

Civil society organizations also played a key role in lobbying policymakers. The Muslim Council of Britain, alongside groups like Liberty and the Runnymede Trust, met with MPs to raise concerns about the long-term impact of Islamophobia and the need for legislative action. Among their proposals were improved legal protections against hate speech, mandatory diversity training for public sector workers, and equitable funding for security infrastructure at mosques and Islamic schools (Liberty). These efforts pushed the conversation beyond reactive measures, framing Islamophobia as a systemic issue rooted in political neglect and media bias.

Education and media literacy also became central components of community protection strategies. Organizations such as Show Racism the Red Card and Muslim Youth Helpline produced school workshops and online resources aimed at dispelling myths about Muslims and Palestinians. These efforts aimed to build long-term social cohesion by targeting young people and challenging the narratives that fuel division (Show Racism the Red Card, 2023). At the same time, mosques and community leaders used sermons and gatherings to encourage calm, resilience, and solidarity, reminding members that strength lies in collective compassion and political engagement.

Security was another key concern. In coordination with the police, many mosques increased security patrols, installed CCTV cameras, and created volunteer watch groups to accompany worshippers during vulnerable hours, especially Friday prayers. These grassroots responses mirrored longstanding Jewish community initiatives, such as those supported by the Community Security Trust (CST), but were often self-funded due to lack of equitable government support (MEND). The disparity in security funding between Muslim and Jewish institutions became a central point of advocacy, with calls for a fair and needs-based public safety strategy for all religious minorities.

Digital advocacy played a critical role in both protection and empowerment. Muslim influencers, journalists, and organizers used platforms like Instagram, TikTok, and X to share safety tips, document incidents of abuse, and mobilize collective action. These platforms became tools of counter-narrative—challenging the portrayal of Muslim communities as either threats or victims and instead framing them as proactive agents of change. Community-led digital campaigns like #SafeToPray and #StopIslamophobia trended nationally, amplifying grassroots voices and pressuring mainstream institutions to respond (Digital Rights Watch). In this way, advocacy was not only reactive but also deeply transformative.

3.5.3. Media's Role in Amplifying or Mitigating Tensions

The role of the media in shaping public perception during and after the October 7 conflict was both influential and deeply contested. British mainstream media, including outlets such as BBC, Sky News, and The Times, were accused by some advocacy groups of displaying bias in favor of Israel, particularly in the early stages of the conflict. Reports tended to frame the narrative around Israeli security and responses to Hamas, with less initial focus on the humanitarian toll in Gaza (Media Reform Coalition). This selective framing contributed to a climate in which British Palestinians and Muslims felt sidelined or vilified, further inflaming existing tensions.

Coverage of pro-Palestinian protests also became a flashpoint for criticism. Demonstrations across London and other UK cities were often described in media headlines using terms such as "chaotic," "inflammatory," or "extremist," while comparatively less attention was given to the peaceful nature of the majority of these gatherings or the motivations behind them (The Guardian). This portrayal played into stereotypes of Muslim and Arab communities as inherently volatile, reinforcing public fears and legitimizing harsh police responses. In contrast,

some outlets like Al Jazeera English and Middle East Eye provided more balanced or sympathetic coverage, though these sources were often labeled as partisan by critics.

At the same time, certain UK tabloid media and right-wing commentators actively contributed to the rise of Islamophobic rhetoric. Publications such as the Daily Mail and columnists in The Telegraph ran op-eds framing pro-Palestinian advocacy as a threat to British values or as linked to extremism. This environment enabled politicians and media figures to conflate calls for Palestinian rights with support for terrorism, blurring critical distinctions and fueling public hostility (Institute of Race Relations). Muslim communities reported increased verbal abuse and threats following such coverage, highlighting the dangerous real-world effects of inflammatory journalism.

Conversely, independent and community-based media played an important role in countering dominant narratives. Outlets like The New Arab, Novara Media, and Byline Times published investigative reports on the unequal treatment of protestors, government surveillance of Muslim communities, and the political context behind UK-Israel relations. These platforms also gave space to British Palestinians, Muslim youth, and grassroots organizers to share personal stories, countering their erasure in mainstream discourse (Novara Media). Such coverage was essential in offering alternative perspectives and promoting media pluralism during a volatile time.

Media watchdog organizations like the Media Reform Coalition and the Centre for Media Monitoring also worked to hold broadcasters accountable for bias and misrepresentation. In one report, the Centre for Media Monitoring found that the BBC and ITV had disproportionately interviewed Israeli officials over Palestinian ones and often failed to contextualize Gaza's humanitarian crisis within the history of occupation (Centre for Media Monitoring). These

findings supported calls for stronger journalistic standards and more inclusive editorial practices, especially during conflict coverage that affects diasporic communities in the UK.

Lastly, social media became a battleground for competing narratives, misinformation, and advocacy. Platforms like X (formerly Twitter), TikTok, and Instagram saw both an explosion of grassroots Palestinian solidarity content and a simultaneous rise in hate speech and disinformation. While digital spaces enabled marginalized voices to gain traction, they also exposed users to harassment and algorithmic censorship. Activists reported having their posts removed or accounts shadowbanned after sharing pro-Palestinian views (Digital Rights Watch). As a result, media—both mainstream and digital—played a dual role: amplifying division in some contexts while empowering resistance and solidarity in others.

Conclusion

The UK's post-October 7 trajectory underscores a troubling paradox: even as media coverage and public solidarity amplified Palestinian voices, institutional policies and social hostilities rendered their rights increasingly precarious. The Gaza family asylum ruling (2024) demonstrated the potential of legal and grassroots advocacy to challenge systemic bias, yet its exceptional status highlights the UK's reluctance to address statelessness or conflict-driven displacement equitably. Meanwhile, Islamophobic hate crimes and politicized immigration reforms reveal how international conflicts exacerbate domestic marginalization. This chapter concludes that without structural reforms—from anti-racist media practices to asylum policy decoupled from geopolitics—Palestinians in Britain will remain caught between hypervisibility and erasure. Their struggles reflect broader global tensions, where diaspora communities bear the brunt of wars they fled, only to confront new battlegrounds in the courts, streets, and headlines of their adopted homes.

General Conclusion

The 7th October attacks marked a turning point in how global conflicts shape local societal dynamics, particularly in Britain. A significant increase in Islamophobia was observed following the attacks, as public anxiety and political rhetoric amplified negative stereotypes against Muslims. This phenomenon was notably acute for Palestinian immigrants, who became a focal point for hostility due to their perceived association with broader Middle Eastern conflicts.

Reports and data indicate a surge in hate crimes targeting Muslim individuals, with a disproportionate number affecting Palestinian communities. Such incidents include verbal abuse, physical assaults, and vandalism of businesses and mosques. These findings reveal how global events can ripple into local prejudices, magnifying existing societal tensions.

Media narratives played a crucial role in shaping public perceptions. Sensationalist headlines often equated Palestinian identity with extremism, reinforcing biased stereotypes. This pervasive framing created an environment where Palestinian immigrants faced increased scrutiny and hostility, both in public and institutional settings.

The research also highlighted the dual vulnerability of Palestinian immigrants as both a religious and ethnic minority. This intersectionality exacerbated their marginalization, making them more susceptible to discriminatory practices in housing, employment, and law enforcement interactions.

These findings underscore the urgent need for a nuanced understanding of how international events influence domestic attitudes. By unpacking these complex relationships, it can better address the systemic challenges faced by vulnerable communities.

This research sheds light on the profound impact of global conflicts on local minority groups, emphasizing the interconnectedness of international and domestic spheres. The rise in

Islamophobia following the 7th October attacks underscores the importance of addressing prejudice at both societal and institutional levels.

The implications of this study extend beyond Palestinian immigrants, offering insights into how marginalized communities navigate heightened hostility during times of geopolitical crisis. Understanding these dynamics is essential for creating policies that protect minorities while fostering social cohesion in multicultural societies like Britain.

Moreover, the research underscores the pivotal role of media in shaping public attitudes. By perpetuating negative stereotypes, media outlets not only influence individual behaviors but also contribute to broader societal polarization. Highlighting this relationship is critical for advocating responsible journalism that prioritizes accuracy and fairness.

This study also reinforces the importance of intersectionality in understanding discrimination. Palestinian immigrants' experiences are shaped by their dual identities as Muslims and ethnic minorities, highlighting the need for policies that address overlapping forms of marginalization.

Ultimately, this research serves as a call to action for policymakers, activists, and researchers. Addressing Islamophobia is not merely a matter of justice for affected communities; it is a prerequisite for building an inclusive society that values diversity and mutual respect.

The findings have significant implications for policymakers aiming to combat Islamophobia. Developing anti-discrimination laws tailored to address the unique challenges faced by Palestinian and other Muslim communities is essential. Such legislation should include protections against hate crimes, workplace discrimination, and racial profiling.

Community engagement programs offer another avenue for mitigating prejudice. Initiatives that bring together diverse groups to foster dialogue and understanding can help

dismantle stereotypes and build empathy. For example, intercultural exchange programs or local forums could provide safe spaces for Palestinians to share their stories.

Media reform is equally critical. Advocacy for ethical journalism that avoids sensationalist narratives can play a transformative role in shaping public perceptions. Training programs for journalists on reporting sensitively about Muslim and Palestinian communities could be one practical application of this research.

Educational interventions can also address the root causes of Islamophobia. Incorporating lessons on Middle Eastern history, the Palestinian diaspora, and Islamophobia into school curriculums can foster a more informed and empathetic generation.

Finally, the findings call for increased support for Palestinian immigrants, including legal aid, mental health services, and community support networks. These measures can empower affected individuals to navigate challenges while contributing positively to society.

While this research provides valuable insights, it is not without its limitations. The reliance on secondary data may have restricted the depth of analysis, particularly concerning the lived experiences of Palestinian immigrants. Future research could benefit from firsthand accounts and interviews to offer a more nuanced perspective.

Another limitation is the geographic scope. This study primarily focuses on urban centers in Britain, where Islamophobia may manifest differently compared to rural areas. Expanding the research to include diverse locations could reveal variations in experiences across different settings.

Additionally, the short time frame of the study might not capture long-term trends. Islamophobia’s impact on Palestinian immigrants may evolve over months or years, necessitating longitudinal studies to assess its sustained effects.

Further research could also explore comparative analyses. Investigating how different Muslim immigrant groups experience Islamophobia in the aftermath of global events could highlight commonalities and unique challenges faced by each community.

The role of intersectionality deserves deeper exploration. Future studies could examine how factors like gender, socioeconomic status, and immigration status intersect with religion and ethnicity to shape experiences of discrimination.

In conclusion, the 7th October attacks and their aftermath highlight the urgent need for a coordinated effort to combat Islamophobia in Britain. Governments, media outlets, and civil society must collaborate to address the systemic biases and prejudices that marginalize Palestinian and other Muslim communities.

Policy reforms, media accountability, and educational programs are essential steps in creating an inclusive society. Governments must prioritize anti-discrimination measures and support mechanisms for minority communities. Similarly, media organizations should embrace responsible reporting practices that challenge, rather than reinforce, stereotypes.

Community-driven initiatives are equally vital. By fostering dialogue and understanding between diverse groups, society can counteract the divisive effects of prejudice and build bridges across cultural and religious divides.

Ultimately, addressing Islamophobia is a collective responsibility. It requires acknowledging the humanity of those affected and committing to creating an environment where all individuals, regardless of their background, can thrive without fear of discrimination.

The lessons from this research serve as a powerful reminder of the importance of empathy, understanding, and justice in the face of adversity.

Works Cited

Abbas, Tahir. *Islamophobia and Radicalisation: A Vicious Cycle*. Oxford University Press, 2019.

Abu-Lughod, Lila. *Palestine: The Politics of Place and the Practices of Resistance*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Abunimah, Ali. “Silenced Narratives: The Erasure of Palestinian Voices in British Media.” *Arab Media & Society*, vol. 35, no. 1, 2023, pp. 45–61. www.arabmediasociety.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/AMS35-Abunimah.pdf.

"Addressing Islamophobia in the Media." *Facing History & Ourselves*, https://www.facinghistory.org/en-gb/resource-library/addressing-islamophobia-media.(Facing History)

Affaf, Faten. « Islamophpbia in US media after 9/11th » Master’s thesis UNIVERSITY OF MOHAMED BOUDIAF - M’SILA 2019.

Ahmed, Aisha. “Framing the Conflict: Media Bias Against Palestinian Narratives in the UK.” *Media & Communications Watch*, 2023. www.mediawatch.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/Framing-the-Conflict-Media-Bias-Palestine-UK.pdf.

Ahmed, Akbar. *Journey into America: The Challenge of Islam*. Brookings Institution Press, 2010.

Awan, Imran. “Islamophobia on Social Media: A Qualitative Analysis of the Facebook's Walls of Hate.” *International Journal of Cyber Criminology*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2016, pp. 1–20.

Bayoumi, Moustafa. *How Does It Feel to Be a Problem? Being Young and Arab in America*. Penguin Books, 2008.

Esposito, John L. *What Everyone Needs to Know about Islam*. Oxford University Press, 2011.

Kumar, Deepa. *Islamophobia and the Politics of Empire*. Haymarket Books, 2012.

Ahmed, Saifuddin, and Jörg Matthes. "Media Representation of Muslims and Islam: A Meta-Analysis of Research Trends." *International Communication Gazette*, vol. 82, no. 1, 2020 .https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1748048519882592

Akram, Susan. *Palestinian Refugees and the Right of Return.* Pluto Press, 2020.

Al-Khouli, M., Al-Khouli, M., & Al-Khouli, M. (2024). Depression, anxiety and coping strategies among Palestinian university students during political violence: A cross-sectional study. *Frontiers in Public Health*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1436672/full

Allen, Chris. *Islamophobia*. Routledge, 2010

Alsultany, Evelyn. *Arabs and Muslims in the Media: Race and Representation after 9/11*. NYU Press, 2012.

Kumar, Deepa. *Islamophobia and the Politics of Empire*. Haymarket Books, 2012.

Shaheen, Jack G. *Reel Bad Arabs: How Hollywood Vilifies a People*. Interlink Publishing Group, 2001.

Amnesty International UK. *Discrimination by Design: UK Asylum Policy after October 2023.* 2024. www.amnesty.org.uk.

Amnesty International UK. *Urgent Action: UK Must Protect Palestinians Fleeing Gaza.* 2023. www.amnesty.org.uk/files/2023-11/Palestine-UK-Asylum-Advocacy.pdf.

Awan, Imran, and Irene Zempi. "A Working Definition of Islamophobia." *Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights*, Nov. 2020, https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Religion/Islamophobia-AntiMuslim/Civil%20Society%20or%20Individuals/ProfAwan-2.pdf.

Awan, Imran, and Irene Zempi. "A working definition of Islamophobia." *Human Rights Council* (2020): 1-20.

Aziz, Sahar F. “The Racial Muslim: When Racism Quashes Religious Freedom.” *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review*, vol. 52, no. 1, 2017, pp. 1–40.

Aziz, Sahar. *The Racial Muslim: When Racism Quashes Religious Freedom*. University of California Press, 2022. https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520382299/the-racial-muslim.(University of California Press)

Baker, P., Gabrielatos, C., & McEnery, T. (2013). *Discourse analysis and media attitudes: The representation of Islam in the British press*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511920103

Bleiker, Roland, and Amy Kay. “Representing HIV/AIDS in Africa: Pluralist Photography and Local Empowerment.” *International Studies Quarterly*, vol. 51, no. 1, 2007, pp. 139–163. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2007.00441.x.

Bridge Initiative. "Report: Muslims Most Negatively Portrayed Minority in US Media." *Georgetown University*, 2018. https://bridge.georgetown.edu/research/report-muslims-most-negatively-portrayed-minority-in-us-media/

British Institute of International and Comparative Law. *Statelessness and Asylum Law: Addressing Legal Gaps for Palestinians.* 2024. www.biicl.org/files/2024/statelessness_palestine_biicl_report.pdf.

British Psychological Society. *Community Trauma and Islamophobia: Report on Post-October 7 Mental Health Trends.* 2024. www.bps.org.uk/news-and-policy/community-trauma-report-2024.

Byline Times. “UK News Coverage of Conflict in Israel and Gaza Showed 'Overwhelming' Bias, Report Finds.” *Byline Times*, 5 Mar. 2024. www.bylinetimes.com/2024/03/05/uk-news-coverage-of-conflict-in-israel-and-gaza-showed-overwhelming-bias-report-finds/.

Cainkar, Louise. *Homeland Insecurity: The Arab American and Muslim American Experience after 9/11*. Russell Sage Foundation, 2009.

Centre for Media Monitoring. (2024). *Bias and balance: British media coverage of the Israel-Gaza conflict*. https://cfmm.org.uk/reports/bias-and-balance-2024

Cesari, Jocelyne. *Why the West Fears Islam: An Exploration of Muslims in Liberal Democracies*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.

Chandra, Mohit, et al. "A Virus Has No Religion: Analyzing Islamophobia on Twitter During the COVID-19 Outbreak." *arXiv*, 11 July 202 https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.05104

Citizens UK. “Interfaith Leaders Unite to Call for Peace in Israel and Gaza.” *Updates*, 2024. www.citizensuk.org/updates/interfaith-leaders-unite-to-call-for-peace-in-israel-and-gaza/.

Community Security Trust. *Antisemitic Incidents Report, October 2023.* 2024. www.cst.org.uk/news/blog/2024/01/15/antisemitic-incidents-october-2023.

Cushion, S., & Lewis, J. (2017). *Impartiality, accuracy and balance in TV news*. Journalism Studies, 18(11), 1364–1380. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2015.1104262

"Challenging the Spread of Online Hate Speech." *Keele University*, 2024. https://www.keele.ac.uk/research/ourresearch/humanities/mediacultureandcreativepractice/contestingislamophobia/challenging-the-spread-of-online-hate-speech.pdf

"Confronting Islamophobia." *Facing History & Ourselves*, https://www.facinghistory.org/en-gb/resource-library/confronting-islamophobia.(Facing History)

"Defining Islamophobia." *Policy Exchange*, 2018, https://policyexchange.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Defining-Islamophobia.pdf.

"Detecting Weak and Strong Islamophobic Hate Speech on Social Media." *Journal of International Communication*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2019, pp. 1–18. Taylor & Francis Online,

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/19331681.2019.1702607.(Taylor & Francis Online)

Digital Rights Watch. *Censorship and Advocacy in Online Platforms Post-October 7.* 2024. www.digitalrightswatch.org.uk/platform-bias-report-2024.

Esposito, John L. *The Future of Islam*. Oxford UP, 2010

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). "Muslims in Europe face ever more racism and discrimination." *FRA*, 24 October 2024. https://fra.europa.eu/en/news/2024/muslims-europe-face-ever-more-racism-and-discrimination

"Exploring Islamophobic Tropes." *Facing History & Ourselves*, https://www.facinghistory.org/en-gb/resource-library/exploring-islamophobic-tropes.(Facing History)

Facing History & Ourselves. *Islamophobia: Its Past and Present (Advanced)*. Facing History, 2023. https://www.facinghistory.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/Islamophobia_its_Past_and_Present_Advanced.pdf.(Facing History)

Fekete, Liz. *A Suitable Enemy: Racism, Migration and Islamophobia in Europe*. Pluto Press, 2009.

Flapan, Simha. “The Palestinian Exodus of 1948.” *Journal of Palestine Studies*, vol. 16, no. 4, 1987, pp. 3–26.

Freedom From Torture. (2024). *Case files: Legal aid in crisis contexts*. https://www.freedomfromtorture.org/sites/default/files/2024-legal-aid-palestinian-cases.pdf

Freedom from Torture. *The Credibility Trap: How Trauma Affects Asylum Testimony.* 2024. www.freedomfromtorture.org.

Friedman, Vanessa. “BBC Accused of Being Hostile to Israel in Its Coverage.” *The Times*, 25 Oct. 2023. www.thetimes.co.uk/article/bbc-coverage-hostile-israel-jewish-groups-ftkcblhs5.

"From Orientalism to Islamophobia." *ReOrient: The Journal of Critical Muslim Studies*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2019, pp. 130–145. JSTOR, https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.13169/reorient.4.2.0130.(JSTOR)

Gonzalez, Laura M., et al. “Bicultural Stress and Well-Being: A Meta-Analysis of Latinx Youth in the United States.” *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, vol. 50, no. 2, 2021, pp. 397–410. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-020-01356-3.

"GB News broadcasts half of all UK stories about Muslims, analysis shows." *The Guardian*, 9 Dec. 2024. https://www.theguardian.com/media/2024/dec/09/gb-news-broadcasts-uk-stories-muslims

"GB News broadcasts half of all UK stories about Muslims, analysis shows." *The Guardian*, 9 Dec. 2024.

https://www.theguardian.com/media/2024/dec/09/gb-news-broadcasts-uk-stories-muslims

"GLAAD: Social media's hate policy rollbacks are 'appalling'." *Axios*, 13 May 2025. https://www.axios.com/2025/05/13/meta-youtube-tiktok-glaad-lgbtq

Griffith, Sidney H. *The Church in the Shadow of the Mosque: Christians and Muslims in the World of Islam*. Princeton University Press, 2008.

Halliday, Fred. *The Middle East in International Relations: Power, Politics and Ideology*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Hoffman, Lynn, et al. "Discrimination Against Muslim Employees." *Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 24, no. 3, 2018 https://jbam.scholasticahq.com/article/9612.pdf.

"Islamophobia and Gab: From Fringe Platforms to Mainstream Social Media." *The German Marshall Fund of the United States*, https://www.gmfus.org/news/islamophobia-and-gab-fringe-platforms-mainstream-social-media.(German Marshall Fund)

"Islamophobia and Law Enforcement in a Post 9/11 World." *Islamic Studies Journal*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2015, pp. 138–152. JSTOR, https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.13169/islastudj.3.1.0138.(JSTOR)

"Islamophobia and Public Health in the United States." *Preventive Medicine Reports*, vol. 3, 2016, pp. 157–163. PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5055770/.(PMC)

"Islamophobia gains ground in Indian election campaign." *Le Monde*, 24 Apr. 2024. https://www.lemonde.fr/en/international/article/2024/04/24/in-india-islamophobia-gains-ground-in-election-campaign_6669326_4.html

"Islamophobia in North America." *Oxford Research Encyclopedias*, 2024. https://oxfordre.com/religion/display/10.1093/acrefore/9780199340378.001.0001/acrefore-9780199340378-e-840

"Islamophobia: A Challenge for Us All." *The Runnymede Trust*, 1997, https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CDP-2021-0140/CDP-2021-0140.pdf.

"Islamophobia: Causes and Countermeasures." *SAGE Journals*, 2023. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/20578911231156277

"Islamophobia: Causes and Countermeasures." *SAGE Journals*, 2023. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/20578911231156277

"Islamophobic Populism." *European Center for Populism Studies*, 2021. https://www.populismstudies.org/Vocabulary/islamophobic-populism

House of Commons Library. (2024). *Israel-Hamas conflict: UK response October 2023 to July 2024* (CBP-9874). https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-9874/

Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD). (2024). *Digital hate and disinformation trends following October 7*. https://www.isdglobal.org/publications

Jaber, Nihaya. "Islamophobia: definition, history, and aspects." Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam 5.2 (2022): 327-338

Jamal, Amaney, and Nadine Naber, editors. *Race and Arab Americans Before and After 9/11: From Invisible Citizens to Visible Subjects*. Syracuse University Press, 2008.

Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants. (2024). Broken promises: Family reunification failures for Palestinians. https://www.jcwi.org.uk

Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants. (2024). *Separated and Stateless: The Plight of Palestinian Families*. https://www.jcwi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Stateless-Families-JCWI-Report.pdf

Kearns, Erin M., Allison Betus, and Anthony Lemieux. “Why Do Some Terrorist Attacks Receive More Media Attention Than Others?” *Justice Quarterly*, vol. 36, no. 6, 2019, pp. 985–1022.

Kearns, Erin M., et al. "Why Do Some Terrorist Attacks Receive More Media Attention Than Others?" *Justice Quarterly*, vol. 36, no. 6, 2019, pp. 985–1022.

Kearns, Erin M., et al. "Why Do Some Terrorist Attacks Receive More Media Attention Than Others?" *Justice Quarterly*, vol. 36, no. 6, 2019, pp. 985–1022. https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2018.1524507.

Khalidi, Rashid. *The Hundred Years' War on Palestine: A History of the Palestinian Struggle for Statehood*. Metropolitan Books, 2017.

Khalidi, Rashid. *The Hundred Years' War on Palestine: A History of the Palestinian Struggle for Statehood*. Metropolitan Books, 2017.

Khalidi, Rashid. *The Iron Cage: The Story of the Palestinian Struggle for Statehood*. Beacon Press, 2006.

Khan, Muhammad. "Islamophobia in Western Media: A Study of American Movies After 9/11." *ResearchGate*, 2021. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350586678_Islamophobia_In_Western_Media_A_Study_Of_American_Movies_After_911

Khoury, M. “Digital Resistance: Palestinian Youth, Identity, and Activism on Social Media.” *Journal of Arab & Muslim Media Research*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2021, pp. 213–231. https://doi.org/10.1386/jammr_00046_1.

Kim, Munjung, et al. "Toxic Synergy Between Hate Speech and Fake News Exposure." *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2404.08110, 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.08110.

Krippendorff, Klaus. *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology.* 4th ed., SAGE Publications, 2018.

Kundnani, Arun. *The Muslims Are Coming! Islamophobia, Extremism, and the Domestic War on Terror*. Verso, 2014.

Malkki, Liisa H. *Purity and Exile: Violence, Memory, and National Cosmology Among Hutu Refugees in Tanzania*. University of Chicago Press, 1995.

Marie, M., et al. “Social Ecology of Resilience and Sumud of Palestinians.” *Health*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2018, pp. 20–35. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459316677624.

Massoud, Mark. "Islamophobia and Public Health in the United States." *NCBI*, 2016. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5055770

Mastro, Megan A. "The Mainstream Misrepresentation of Muslim Women in the Media." *Gettysburg College*, 2016. https://cupola.gettysburg.edu/context/islamandwomen/article/1012/viewcontent

Mayblin, Lucy. *Asylum after Empire: Colonial Legacies in the UK's Immigration Regime.* Rowman & Littlefield, 2019.

Media Diversity Institute (MDI). *Reporting on Muslims and Islam in the Media*. MDI, 2018.

Media Reform Coalition. *Media and Migration: How Coverage Shapes Policy and Public Response.* 2023. www.mediareform.org.uk/reports/Media-and-Migration-Report-2023.pdf.

Menocal, María Rosa. *The Ornament of the World: How Muslims, Jews, and Christians Created a Culture of Tolerance in Medieval Spain*. Little, Brown, 2002.

Mir, Shabana, and Loukia K. Sarroub. "Islamophobia in U.S. Education." *The Routledge International Handbook of Islamophobia*, edited by Irene Zempi and Imran Awan, Routledge, 2019 https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/teachlearnfacpub/313/.

Mohammed, Zarah. "British Muslims Deserve Safety and Belonging. War in Gaza Has Made Us Fear We'll Lose Both." *The Guardian*, 7 Oct. 2024. www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2024/oct/07/british-muslims-safety-war-gaza.

Mudde, Cas. *Populism: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford UP, 2017.

Naber, Nadine. *Arab America: Gender, Cultural Politics, and Activism*. New York University Press, 2012.

National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC). (2023). *Religious hate crime surge statistics: Q4 report*. https://news.npcc.police.uk/releases/hate-crime-statistics-q4-2023

"News Coverage of Islam and Muslims in North America." *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Religion*, 2023. Oxford University Press, https://oxfordre.com/religion/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199340378.001.0001/acrefore-9780199340378-e-865.(Oxford Research Encyclopedias)

"NGO Paper: What Does 'Islamophobia' Mean?" *Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe*, 2011, https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/f/a/106577.pdf.

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2024). Report on contemporary forms of racism. https://www.ohchr.org

Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE). "Understanding Anti-Muslim Hate Crimes." OSCE, 2020 https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/9/0/448696.pdf.

Öztig, Levent. "The Strategic Logic of Islamophobic Populism." *Center for the Study of Religion and Conflict*, 2020.

https://csrr.rutgers.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/strategic-logic-of-islamophobic-populism-2021.pdf

Pappe, Ilan. *The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine*. Oneworld Publications, 2006.

Peek, Lori. *Behind the Backlash: Muslim Americans after 9/11*. Temple University Press, 2011

Philo, Greg, and Mike Berry. *More Bad News from Israel.* Pluto Press, 2011.

Poole, Elizabeth. *Reporting Islam: Media Representations of British Muslims*. I.B. Tauris, 2002.

"Populism and Prejudice: Insights from Global Islamophobia and the Rise of Populism." *Georgetown University*, 2024.

https://bridge.georgetown.edu/research/populism-and-prejudice-insights-from-global-islamophobia-and-the-rise-of-populism

Qutami, Sama. “Palestinian Migration to the UK: A Study of Skilled Migrants and Their Integration.” *Middle East Studies*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2019, pp. 110–25.

Ramberg, Ingrid. Islamophobia and Its Consequences on Young People: European Youth Centre Budapest Seminar Report, 1–6 June 2004. Council of Europe, 2004, p. 6.

Rana, Junaid. *Terrifying Muslims: Race and Labor in the South Asian Diaspora*. Duke University Press, 2011.

Research Methodology: Mixed-Methods Analysis of Palestinian Immigration in the UK. PDF document. Accessed 2024.

Reuters. "Canada's PM denounces hate-motivated graffiti at mosque." *Reuters*, 28 January 2024. https://www.reuters.com/world/americas/canadas-pm-denounces-hate-motivated-graffiti-mosque-2024-01-28/

Reuters. "US anti-Muslim incidents rose about 70% in first half of 2024 amid Gaza war." *Reuters*, 30 July 2024. https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-anti-muslim-incidents-rose-about-70-first-half-2024-amid-gaza-war-2024-07-30/

Runnymede Trust. *Islamophobia: A Challenge for Us All*. Runnymede Trust, 1997https://www.runnymedetrust.org/uploads/publications/pdfs/islamophobia.pdf

Said, Edward W. *Covering Islam: How the Media and the Experts Determine How We See the Rest of the World*. Vintage, 1997.

Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Vintage Books, 1978

Sayigh, Yezid. *The Palestinians: From Peasants to Revolutionaries*. Zed Books, 1997.

Sayyid, Salman. *Recalling the Caliphate: Decolonisation and World Order*. Hurst & Co., 2014.

Senanayake, Harsha. "Hollywood and Wicked Other: The Identity Formation of 'Western Us' Versus 'Muslim Others'." *Open Political Science*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2021, pp. 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1515/openps-2021-0001.

Shaheen, Jack G. "Reel Bad Arabs: How Hollywood Vilifies a People." *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, vol. 588, no. 1, 2003, pp. 171–193. https://www.jstor.org/stable/1049860

Shaheen, Jack G. *Reel Bad Arabs: How Hollywood Vilifies a People*. Interlink Publishing, 2001.

Shiblak, Abbas. “Palestinian Refugees in Britain: A Historical Perspective.” *Journal of Refugee Studies*, vol. 18, no. 1, 2005, pp. 80–98.

Singh, Kanishka. "US Anti-Muslim Incidents Rose About 70% in First Half of 2024 Amid Gaza War." *Reuters*, 30 July 2024. https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-anti-muslim-incidents-rose-about-70-first-half-2024-amid-gaza-war-2024-07-30/.

The Guardian. "Muslims in Europe Experiencing 'Worrying Surge' in Racism, Survey Finds." *The Guardian*, 24 October 2024. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/oct/24/muslims-in-europe-experiencing-worrying-surge-in-racism-survey-finds.

The Guardian. “Israel and Gaza: Into the Abyss Review - An Indelibly Traumatic Viewing Experience.” *The Guardian*, 16 Sept. 2024, www.theguardian.com/tv-and-radio/2024/sep/16/israel-and-gaza-into-the-abyss-review-an-indelibly-traumatic-viewing-experience.

The Guardian. “Record Amount of Anti-Muslim Abuse Reported in UK since 7 October Attacks.” *The Guardian*, 4 Oct. 2024a, www.theguardian.com/news/2024/oct/04/record-amount-of-anti-muslim-abuse-reported-in-uk.

The Guardian. “UK Government Response to Hate Crime under Scrutiny after October Conflict.” *The Guardian*, 1 Nov. 2024b, www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2024/nov/01/uk-government-hate-crime-response-october-conflict.

"The Canadian Guide to Understanding and Combatting Islamophobia." *Government of Canada*, 2025.

https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/campaigns/combatting-islamophobia-canada/resources/guide-combatting-islamophobia.html

"The Impact of Online Islamophobic Discourse on Offline Anti-Muslim Hate Crime." *Quo Vademus*, https://quo-vademus.org/the-impact-of-online-islamophobic-discourse-on-offline-anti-muslim-hate-crime/.(Quo Vademus)

"The interaction between online and offline Islamophobia and anti-Muslim hate crime." *Sociology Compass*, 2020. https://compass.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/soc4.13160

"The Political Economy of the Hate Industry: Islamophobia in the West." *Islamic Studies Journal*, JSTOR, 2021.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.13169/islastudj.5.2.0152

"The Shape of Contemporary Islamophobia and Its Specific Effects on Young Muslims' Political and Associative Life." *Council of Europe*, https://www.coe.int/en/web/all-different-all-equal/the-shape-of-contemporary-islamophobia-and-its-specific-effects-on-young-muslims-political-and-associative-life.(Portal)

Tolan, John V. *Saracens: Islam in the Medieval European Imagination*. Columbia University Press, 2002.

Tyerman, Christopher. *God's War: A New History of the Crusades*. Harvard University Press, 2006.

UK Supreme Court. *R (AAA) v Secretary of State for the Home Department.* [2023] UKSC 42.

UNHCR UK. *Legal Precedents in UK Asylum Cases Involving Palestinian Applicants.* 2024. www.unhcr.org/uk/sites/default/files/publications/UNHCR_UK_Court_Cases_2024.pdf.

UNHCR. (2024). Global trends: Forced displacement in 2023. https://www.unhcr.org

UNHCR. *Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2023.* 2024. www.unhcr.org.

Veronese, G., Pepe, A., & Afana, A. H. (2017). “We must cooperate with one another against the enemy”: Agency and activism in school-aged children as protective factors against

ongoing war trauma and political violence in Palestine. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 70*, 364–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.06.027

Veronese, Guido, and Marta Castiglioni. "'When the Doors of Hell Close': Dimensions of Well-Being and Positive Adjustment in Palestinian Children Living amidst Military and Political Violence." *Child Indicators Research*, vol. 8, 2015, pp. 91–112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-014-9287-z.

Veronese, Guido, et al. "'We Must Cooperate with One Another against the Enemy': Agency and Activism in School-Aged Children as Protective Factors against Ongoing War Trauma and Political Violence in Palestine." *Child Abuse & Neglect*, vol. 70, 2017, pp. 364–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.06.027.

Vidgen, Bertie, and Taha Yasseri. "Detecting Weak and Strong Islamophobic Hate Speech on Social Media." *The Alan Turing Institute*, 2018. https://www.turing.ac.uk/news/publications/detecting-weak-and-strong-islamophobic-hate-speech-social-media

Vidgen, Bertie, and Taha Yasseri. "Detecting Weak and Strong Islamophobic Hate Speech on Social Media." *Publications*, vol. 8, no. 4, 2020, p. 52.

https://www.mdpi.com/2304-6775/8/4/52

Wang, Caiyun. "Victimhood in the Face of Media Ideological Battle: A Critical Discourse Analysis on the British Media's Coverage of Stabbing Incidents in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict." *Journal of Holy Land and Palestine Studies*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2017, pp. 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3366/hlps.2017.0153.

"What Is Islamophobia?" *Facing History & Ourselves*, 2024.

https://www.facinghistory.org/resource-library/what-islamophobia

"What Is Islamophobia?" *Facing History & Ourselves*, https://www.facinghistory.org/resource-library/what-islamophobia.(Facing History)

"World Religions: Islam: Misconceptions." *Lone Star College*, 2025. https://upresearch.lonestar.edu/islam/misconceptions